UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF STATE-SPECIFIC UI MATERIALS



National Commission on Unemployment Compensation 1815 Lynn Street, Suite 440 Rosslyn, Virginia 22209



NATIONAL COMMISSION ON UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

Commissioners

Wilbur J. Cohen, <u>Chairman</u> Professor of Public Affairs LBJ School of Public Affairs University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712

Walter Bivins
Deputy Executive Director (Retired)
Career Employee
Mississippi Employment Security
Commission
Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Beatrice Coleman President and Board Chairman Maidenform, Incorporated New York, New York 10016

Warren L. Cooper Vice-President for Public Affairs Midwestern Region Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Co. Charleston, West Virginia 25301

John D. Crosier Executive Vice-President Jobs for Massachusetts, Inc. Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Wilbur Daniels
Executive Vice President
ILGWU
New York, New York 10019

J. Eldred Hill, Jr. Executive Director UBA, Incorporated Washington, D.C. 20036

Honorable Alphonse Jackson Louisiana State House of Representatives Shreveport, Louisiana 71103

Ken Morris Director, Region 1-B UAW Madison Heights, Michigan 48071

Honorable Mary Rose Oakar U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dolores Gloria Sanchez Publisher Eastern Group Publications, Inc. Los Angeles, California 90033

Bert Seidman
Director, Department of Social
Security
AFL-CIO
Washington, D.C. 20006

Edward T. Sullivan
Business Manager, Service
Employees International Union
Local 254 AFL-CIO
Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Senior Staff

James M. Rosbrow Executive Director

Raymond C. Munts
Director of Research and Evaluation

Roger Webb Deputy Executive Director

PREFACE

This bibliography is a companion volume to the National Commission's general bibliography of unemployment insurance (UI) materials entitled <u>Unemployment Insurance</u>: An Annotated Bibliography. Both bibliographies were developed as basic reference tools for state and Federal UI program personnel and for researchers in this and related fields.

This volume was designed to provide ready access to materials containing information and data on the UI programs of individual states. It contains a subject index, which provides access to the referenced materials by subject and state, and the bibliography itself, which consists of a state-by-state listing of relevant UI materials. When an abstract or annotation was available, the entry in the bibliography is annotated.

The bibliography is being produced in looseleaf form to facilitate periodic updates. Such updates will involve revision of both the bibliography itself and the subject index. Each update package will consist of a complete replacement for the index and revised pages to be inserted in the bibliography itself.

Although every effort was made to provide complete and accurate coverage of the available UI literature, users of this bibliography are bound to discover omissions and errors. The National Commission requests that such observations be communicated to the Commission staff so that additions and corrections can be incorporated into updates of this bibliography. The Commission also requests that individuals working in the UI field inform the staff when they have published new studies or reports so the updates will be as comprehensive and as current as possible.

Please address all comments to:

Raymond Munts
Research Director
National Commission on
Unemployment Compensation
1815 Lynn Street, Suite 440
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209
(703) 235-2790

AFTER SEPTEMBER, 1980, ALL COMMENTS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO:

CHIEF, DIVISION OF RESEARCH UIS, ETA, DOL - ROOM 7402 601 D Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20213 (202) 376-6413

	·		
		•	

USER'S GUIDE

This bibliography consists of a subject index and a state-by-state listing of documents containing information and data relevant to individual state UI program. Documents are identified within each state listing by document number: The document number consists of a state's two-letter Post Office abbreviation and a numeral assigned when the entry was processed. The document number is the link between the bibliography entry and the subject index.

The entries in this bibliography were developed from UI-related documents identified by searching 17 different bibliographic data bases. Many of the entries appear with annotations. Some of these annotations are abbreviated versions of the annotations appearing in the general UI bibliography; the others were prepared from abstracts provided by the data bases. An entry drawn from the general UI bibliography has a number in parentheses at the end of its annotation: This is the document number of the cited material in the general bibliography, and the reader can use this number to locate the more comprehensive annotation provided for the reference in the general bibliography.

The subject index is alphabetically arranged by topic. Under each subject entry is a listing of each state for which there is at least one document containing state-specific information on that topic. Under each state listing appear the document number(s) of the pertinent material(s). If a particular document was drawn from the general UI bibliography, the document's state bibliography number is followed by its number in the general bibliography. This allows the user to refer directly from the index to the general bibliography if desired. A typical listing in the subject index appears as follows:

ABUSE

New Jersey

NJ-6, NJ-9 (69)

The following is a complete list of the topical entry terms used in the subject index of this bibliography.

SUBJECT INDEX

ABUSE Maine Arizona ME-7 (59)AZ-8 Massachusetts Kansas MA-9 (59)KS-5 (309) Missouri Nebraska MO-7 (168), MO-9 (182) NE-3 Montana **New Jersey** MT-2 NJ-6, NJ-9 (69) New Hampshire New York NH-3 (59) NY-10 New Jersey NJ-5 (236) ADMINISTRATION (FEDERAL) New York California NY-6, NY-9, NY-14, NY-27 (213), NY-29 (323) CA-13 (202) Rhode Island Connecticut RI-5 (59) CT-10 (59) Maine ADMINISTRATION (STATE) ME-7 (59)Alabama Massachusetts AL-6 (193) MA-9 (59)California New Hampshire CA-13 (202) NH-3 (59) Colorado Rhode Island CO-1 RI-5 (59) Connecticut CT-7, CT-10 (59) ADMINISTRATION (LOCAL) Delaware California DE-3 CA-13 (202) Hawaii Connecticut HI-5 CT-10 (59) Idaho District of Columbia ID-2 DC-1 (160) Illinois

IL-7, IL-8

Illinois

IL-7, IL-8

	ADMINISTR	ATION (S	TATE) (Continued
--	------------------	----------	---------	-----------

Kansas Connecticut

KS-2 CT-3

Louisiana Florida

LA-2 FL-7
Maine Minnesota

ME-7 (59) MN-1

Maryland North Dakota
MD-4 ND-5 (199)

Massachusetts Ohio

MA-6, MA-9 (59) OH-1
Vermont

Nevada Vermont
NV-5 VT-1, VT-2

New Hampshire

NH-3 (59) AGRICULTURAL WORKERS (BENEFITS)
New Jersey California

NJ-5 (286) CA-9 (204)

New York Hawaii

NY-10, NY-14, NY-17, HI-1 NY-30 Minnesota

North Carolina MN-1

NC-1 Ohio
North Dakota OH-1

ND-5 (199)

Ohio

AGRICULTURAL WORKERS (COVERAGE)

OH-3

California

Pennsylvania CA-9 (204)

Pennsylvania CA-9 (204)
PA-9 (142), PA-11 (194) Connecticut

PA-9 (142), PA-11 (194)

Connecticut

Puerto Rico

CT-1, CT-2, CT-3, CT-4

PR-4 Delaware

Rhode Island DE-1, DE-2

RI-5 (59) Florida

Utah FL-2, FL-3, FL-5, FL-6

UT-3 Hawaii

HI-1
AGRICULTURAL WORKERS
Maine

AGRICULTURAL WORKERS Maine

California ME-1, ME-3

CA-9 (204) ME-1, ME-

AGRICULTURAL WORKERS (COVERAGE)

Continued

Maryland

MD-2, MD-3

Massachusetts

MA-1, MA-2, MA-3

Minnesota

MN-1

New Hampshire

NH-1

New Jersey

NJ-1, NJ-2

New York

NY-2, NY-7

Ohio

OH-1, OH-2

Pennsylvania

PA-1, PA-2

Rhode Island

RI-1, RI-2

Texas

TX-1, TX-2, TX-3

Vermont

VT-1, VT-2

Washington

WA-2

BENEFIT ADEQUACY

Alaska

AK-2, AK-6

Arizona

AZ-15 (25), AZ-16 (26)

California

CA-3 (232)

Georgia

GA-4 (37)

Illinois

IL-3 (37)

Maryland

MD-6(37)

Missouri

MO-9 (182)

New Jersey

NJ-9 (69), NJ-5 (286)

New York

NY-12 (324), NY-29

Pennsylvania

PA-10 (190)

South Carolina

SC-1, SC-3 (48)

Washington

WA-6(37)

APPEALS

California

CA-6, CA-8, CA-14

Louisiana

LA-2

Michigan

MI-3, MI-4

New Jersey

NJ-5 (286)

Pennsylvania

PA-4, PA-12 (327)

Alaska

AK-2

Arizona

BENEFIT DURATION

AZ-14 (09), AZ-20 (348)

California

CA-3 (232), CA-7 (310)

Colorado

CO-2 (45)

Florida

FL-7 (51)

BENEFIT DURATION (Continued)		
Georgia	California	
GA-4 (37), GA-6 (151),	CA-15 (113), CA-16 (114),	
GA-7 (341)	Connecticut	
Idaho	CT-11 (113)	
ID-3 (205)	Florida	
Illinois	FL-7 (51)	
IL-3 (37)	Georgia	
Maryland	GA-4 (37), GA-7 (341)	
MD-6 (37)	Idaho	
Missouri	ID-6 (113), ID-3 (205)	
MO-8 (318), MO-9 (182)	Illinois	
New Jersey	IL-3 (37)	
NJ-9 (69)	Maine	
New York	ME-8 (113)	
NY-23 (51), NY-28 (214), NY-11 (333), NY-29 (323),	Maryland	
NY-31 (331)	MD-6 (37)	
North Carolina	Massachusetts	
NC-2 (121)	MA-10 (113)	
Ohio	Michigan	
OH-5 (51), OH-7 (318)	MI-5 (113)	
Oregon	Minnesota	
OR-7 (51)	MN-4 (113)	
Pennsylvania	Missouri	
PA-7 (09), PA-10 (190),	MO-5 (114)	
PA-6, PA-13 (318), PA-14 (341)	Montana	
South Carolina	MT-3 (113)	
SC-3 (48)	Nevada	
Utah	NV-7 (113), NV-8 (114)	
UT-4 (190)	New Jersey	
Washington	NJ-10 (113)	
WA-5 (45), WA-6 (37)	New York	
·	NY-11 (333), NY-23 (51), NY-24 (113), NY-25 (114),	
BENEFIT EXHAUSTION	NY-28 (214), NY-31 (331)	
Alabama	North Dakota	
AL-4 (113)	ND-4 (113)	

BENEFIT EXHAUSTION (Continued) Ohio

OH-5 (51)

Oregon OR-7 (51), OR-8 (113)

Pennsylvania

PA-14 (341)

Puerto Rico

PR-3 (113)

Rhode Island

RI-6 (113)

South Dakota

SD-4

Vermont

VT-8 (113), VT-4

Washington

WA-6 (37), WA-7 (113)

West Virginia

WV-9 (113)

Wisconsin

WI-5 (114)

BENEFIT FORMULAS

Alabama

AL-1

Alaska

AK-1, AK-2

Arizona

AZ-15 (25)

California

CA-10

Connecticut

CT-5, CT-12 (347)

Hawaii

HI-7

Idaho

ID-5

Kentucky

KY-1 (347)

Michigan

MI-4, MI-6 (347)

Montana

MT-2

Nebraska

NE-5 (347)

New Jersey

NJ-5 (286)

Puerto Rico

PR-5 (347)

South Carolina

SC-3 (48)

South Dakota

SD-2 (347)

Wisconsin

WI-6 (347)

BENEFITS

Alabama

AL-1, AL-5 (121)

Alaska

AK-1

Arizona

AZ-3, AZ-5, AZ-14 (09)

California

CA-7 (310), CA-16 (114)

Colorado

CO-2 (45)

Connecticut

CT-5

Florida

FL-7 (51), FL-8 (121)

Georgia

GA-5 (121)

5

BENEFITS (Continued)		
Idaho	Washington	
ID-1, ID-4	WA-5 (45)	
Illinois	West Virginia	
IL-5	WV-5	
Kansas	Wisconsin	
KS-5 (309)	WI-1, W1-3	
Louisiana		
LA-3, LA-5 (121)	BENEFITS (STATISTICS)	
Mississippi	California	
MS-3 (121)	CA-7 (310)	
Missouri	Hawaii	
MO-5 (114)	HI-7	
Nevada	Idaho	
NV-8 (114)	ID-5	
New Jersey	Kansas	
NJ-7, NJ-5 (286)	KS-1	
New York	Louisiana	
NY-1, NY-5, NY-8, NY-21,	LA-1	
NY-23 (51), NY-25 (114), NY-28 (214), NY-29	New Jersey	
North Carolina	NJ-7	
NC-2 (121)	New York	
Ohio	NY-31 (331)	
OH-5 (51)	Oregon	
Oregon	OR-1	
OR-1, OR-4, OR-7 (51)	Pennsylvania	
Pennsylvania	PA-6	
PA-6, PA-7 (09), PA-8 (120)	Tennessee	
South Carolina	TN-2	
SC-1, SC-3 (48), SC-4 (121)	West Virginia	
South Dakota	WV-2	
SD-1	Wyoming	
Tennessee	WY-2	
TN-4 (121)		
Vermont	BUSINESS CYCLE EFFECTS ON UI	
V GI III OII L	A1.1	

VT-5

Alabama

AL-5 (121)

BUSINESS CYCLE EFFECTS ON UI (Continued)

California

CA-3 (232)

Florida

FL-8 (121)

Georgia

GA-5 (121)

Louisiana

LA-5 (121)

Mississippi

MS-3 (121)

North Carolina

NC-2 (121)

South Carolina

SC-4 (121)

Tennessee

TN-4 (121)

CLAIMANT CHARACTERISTICS

Alaska

AK-4, AK-5, AK-7 (205)

Arizona

AZ-8, AZ-11, AZ-13, AZ-20 (348)

California

CA-1

Connecticut

CT-10 (59)

District of Columbia

DC-1 (160)

Hawaii

HI-6, HI-9 (205)

Idaho

ID-1, ID-3 (205)

Maine

ME-7 (59)

Maryland

MD-1

Massachusetts

MA-9 (59)

Missouri

MO-2

Montana

MT-1

Nebraska

NE-4 (209)

Nevada

NV-1

New Hampshire

NH-3 (59)

New York

NY-3, NY-19, NY-26 (209),

NY-29

North Carolina

NC-1, NC-3 (205)

North Dakota

ND-2, ND-3

Ohio

OH-6 (190)

Oregon

OR-5 (205)

Rhode Island

RI-5 (59)

South Carolina

SC-1

South Dakota

SD-1, SD-4

Tennessee

TN-3

West Virginia

WV-7

Wyoming

WY-1

CLAIMS

Arizona Oregon
AZ-11 (312) OR-1
New York Tennessee

NY-19 Tennessee

West Virginia Wisconsin WV-2 WI-4

Wisconsin Wyoming
WI-4 WY-2

CLAIMS (STATISTICS) COVERAGE

Alabama

AL-2 AL-5 (121)

Arizona California

AZ-11 (312) CA-9 (204) Hawaii Connecticut

HI-7 CT-5

Kansas Florida KS-1 FL-1, FL-4, FL-8 (121)

Louisiana Georgia

LA-1, LA-3 GA-5 (121)

Massachusetts Hawaii
MA-4 HI-8

Missouri Illinois

MO-2 IL-2, IL-4, IL-5

Montana Louisiana LA-5 (121)

New Hampshire Maine

NH-2 ME-2, ME-4
New Jersey Massachusetts

NJ-7 MA-4
New York Mississippi

NY-3, NY-18 MS-3 (121)

North Carolina Missouri

NC-1 MO-1
North Dakota New York

ND-1 NY-8, NY-21, NY-28 (214)

COVERAGE (Continued)		DOMESTIC WORKERS		
North Carolina		New York		
NC-2 (121)		NY-12 (324), NY-20		
Ohio				
OH-6 (190)		EFFECTS OF UI ON BUSINESS CYCLE		
Oregon		Georgia		
OR-1		GA-6 (151)		
South Carolina				
SC-4 (121)		ELDERLY WORKERS		
South Dakota		Arizona		
SD-1		AZ-17 (190), AZ-11 (312)		
Tennessee		Georgia		
TN-4 (121)		GA-4 (37)		
Utah		Hawaii		
UT-1		HI-6		
Vermont		Illinois		
VT-3		IL-3 (37)		
Washington		Maryland		
WA-3		MD-6 (37)		
West Virginia		New Jersey		
WV-7, WV-8 (209)		NJ-9 (69)		
		New York		
DEPENDENT BENEFITS		NY-16		
Alaska		Washington		
AK-6		WA-6 (37)		
Arizona				
AZ-15 (25)		ELIGIBILITY		
Georgia		Alabama		
GA-4 (37)		AL-3		
Illinois		Alaska		
IL-3 (37)		AK-3, AK-7 (205)		
Maryland		Hawaii		
MD-6 (89)		HI-9 (205)		
New Jersey		Massachusetts		
NJ-5 (286)		MA-8		
New York		Mississippi		
NY-29		MS-2		
Washington 9		Missouri		
WA-6 (37)		MO-7 (168)		

ELIGIBILITY (Continued) **EXHAUSTEES** Montana California MT-2 CA-16 (114) **New Jersey** Connecticut NJ-5 (286) CT-8, CT-9, CT-10 (59) New York Georgia NY-31 (331) GA-3 (35), GA-4 (37) North Carolina Illinois NC-3 (205) IL-3 (37), IL-9 (35) Pennsylvania Maine PA-12 (327) ME-7 (59)Maryland EMPLOYER RECORDS AND REPORTING MD-5 (35), MD-6 (37) California Massachusetts CA-9 (204) MA-9 (59) New Jersev Missouri NJ-5 (286) MO-5 (114) West Virginia Nevada WV-3 NV-8 (114) New Hampshire **EMPLOYMENT SERVICE** NH-3 (59) Missouri New Jersey MO-7 (168), MO-9 (182) NJ-9 (69) New York New York NY-9, NY-15, NY-11 (333), NY-25 (114), NY-27 (213), NY-29 (323) NY-31 (331) North Dakota North Dakota ND-1 ND-3 Pennsylvania Rhode Island PA-9 (142) RI-3, RI-5 (59) Vermont South Dakota **VT-5** SD-4 Wisconsin Vermont WI-2VT-4, VT-6, VT-7 Washington

WA-4 (35), WA-6 (8)

WI-5 (114)

Wisconsin

EXHAUSTION RATE

Georgia

GA-7 (341)

New Jersey

NJ-9 (69)

New York

NY-29 (323), NY-31 (331)

Pennsylvania

PA-14 (341)

EXPERIENCE RATING

Arizona

AZ-9

California

CA-3 (232)

Connecticut

CT-6

Hawaii

HI-2

Minnesota

MN-1

New Jersey

NJ-3, NJ-5 (286),

NJ-11 (295)

New York

NY-13

South Dakota

SD-5

EXTENDED BENEFITS

Alabama

AL-4 (113), AL-5 (121)

California

CA-7 (310), CA-15 (113),

CA-16 (114)

Connecticut

CT-11 (113)

Florida

FL-8 (121)

Georgia

GA-4 (37), GA-5 (121),

GA-7 (341)

Idaho

ID-6 (113)

Illinois

IL-3 (37)

Kansas

KS-5 (309)

Louisiana

LA-5 (121)

Maine

ME-8 (113)

Maryland

MD-1, MD-6 (37)

Massachusetts

MA-10 (113)

Michigan

MI-5 (113)

Minnesota

MN-4 (113)

Mississippi

MS-3 (121)

Missouri

MO-5 (114)

Montana

MT-3 (113)

Nevada

NV-7 (113), NV-8 (114)

EXTENDED BENEFITS (Continued)	FEDERAL LEGISLATION	
New Jersey	Hawaii	
NJ-10 (113)	HI-10 (225)	
New York	Pennsylvania	
NY-4, NY-11 (333), NY-24 (113), NY-25 (114), NY-31 (331)	PA-9 (142)	
North Carolina	FINANCING	
NC-2 (121)	Alabama	
North Dakota	AL-1	
ND-4 (113)	Arizona	
Ohio	AZ-4 (224), AZ-9, AZ-12	
OH-6 (190)	California	
Oregon	CA-6	
OR-8 (13)	Florida	
Pennsylvania	FL-4, FL-6, FL-7 (51)	
PA-14 (341)	Hawaii	
Puerto Rico	HI-2, HI-3	
PR-3 (113)	Idaho	
Rhode Island	ID-4, ID-5	
RI-6 (113)	Illinois	
Utah	IL-1	
UT-1	Kansas	
Vermont	KS-5 (309)	
VT-4, VT-8 (113)	Maine	
Washington	ME-5	
WA-6 (37), WA-7 (113)	Missouri	
West Virginia	MO-3, MO-4	
WV-9 (113)	Montana	
Wisconsin	MT-2	
WI-5 (114)	Nebraska	
	NE-2	
FEDERAL FINANCING	Nevada	
New Jersey	NV-3	
NJ-5 (286), NJ-11 (295)		
New York		

NY-4

FINANCING (Continued) New Jersey New Hampshire NJ-5 (286) NH-3 (59) New York Pennsylvania NY-4, NY-23 (51), PA-9 (142) NY-28 (214) Rhode Island Ohio RI-5 (59) OH-5 (51) Oregon INCOME MAINTENANCE OR-2, OR-3, OR-7 (51) Alaska South Carolina AK-6 SC-2 California South Dakota CA-3 (232) SD-6 Georgia Utah GA-4 (37) UT-2 Illinois West Virginia IL-3 (37) W V-1 Indiana IN-2 (281) FRAUD Kansas California KS-5 (309) CA-13 (202) Maryland Kansas MD-6 (37) KS-5 (309) New York New Jersey NY-31 (331) NJ-5 (286), NJ-6 Pennsylvania New York PA-3 NY-10 Washington Ohio WA-6(37)OH-3 INTERSTATE CLAIMS IMPORT-IMPACTED WORKERS New York Connecticut NY-30 CT-10 (59) North Dakota Maine

ME-7 (59)

MA-9 (59)

Massachusetts

ND-5 (199)

INTERSTATE COMPARISONS

Alabama

AL-5 (121)

Arizona

AZ-6, AZ-18 (289), AZ-19 (290)

California

CA-4 (289), CA-5 (290)

Connecticut

CT-12 (347)

Florida

FL-8 (121)

Georgia

GA-4 (37), GA-5 (121)

Illinois

IL-3 (37), IL-5

Kentucky

KY-1 (347)

Louisiana

LA-1, LA-5 (121)

Maryland

MD-6(37)

Massachusetts

MA-5 (289), MA-7 (290)

Michigan

MI-6 (347)

Minnesota

MN-5 (289), MN-6 (290)

Mississippi

MS-3 (121)

Missouri

MO-6 (159)

Nebraska

NE-5 (347)

North Carolina

NC-2 (121)

Puerto Rico

PR-5 (347)

South Carolina

SC-4 (121)

South Dakota

SD-2 (347)

Tennessee

TN-4 (121)

Washington

WA-6 (37), WA-8 (289),

WA-9 (290)

Wisconsin

WI-6 (347)

JOB SEARCH

Arizona

AZ-11, AZ-16 (26)

California

CA-3 (232)

Colorado

CO-2

Georgia

GA-3 (35), GA-4 (37)

Illinois

IL-3 (37), IL-9 (35)

Indiana

IN-2 (281)

Kansas

KS-5 (309)

Maryland

MD-5 (35), MD-6 (37)

Missouri

MO-7 (168), MO-8 (318),

MO-9 (182)

Montana

MT-2

JOB SEARCH (Continued)

New Jersey

NJ-9 (69)

New York

NY-11 (333)

Ohio

OH-7 (318)

Pennsylvania

PA-3, PA-9 (142), PA-13 (318)

Washington

WA-1, WA-4 (35), WA-5 (45), WA-6 (37)

LABOR MARKET CONDITIONS

Alabama

AL-5 (121)

Arizona

AZ-1, AZ-3, AZ-7, AZ-10, AZ-11 (312)

Florida

FL-8 (121)

Georgia

GA-5 (121)

Hawaii

HI-3, HI-4

Louisiana

LA-5 (121)

Maryland

MD-1

Mississippi

MS-3 (121)

Nevada

NV-8

New York

NY-31 (331)

North Carolina

NC-2 (121)

Pennsylvania

PA-5

Puerto Rico

PR-1, PR-2

South Carolina

SC-4 (121)

South Dakota

SD-4

Tennessee

TN-4 (121)

Washington

WA-1

West Virginia

WV-2, WV-8 (209)

LABOR UNIONS

Arizona

AZ-2

California

CA-11

Hawaii

HI-8, HI-10 (225)

Iowa

IA-1

New York

NY-28 (214)

Pennsylvania

PA-4, PA-12 (327)

Puerto Rico

PR-2

MANPOWER TRAINING

Pennsylvania

PA-9 (142)

PART-TIME WORKERS

NC-3 (121)

Alabama Indiana

AL-5 (121) IN-1

Connecticut Iowa

CT-12 (347) IA-2

Florida Louisiana FL-8 (121)

LA-4 Georgia Massachusetts

GA-5 (121) MA-7 (290)

Kentucky Minnesota KY-1 (347) MN-6 (290)

Louisiana Missouri

LA-5 (121) MO-3, MO-9 (182)

Michigan New Jersey

MI-6 (347)NJ-4, NJ-6, NJ-8, NJ-11 (295) Mississippi

New York MS-3 (121)

NY-14, NY-29 (323) Nebraska

North Dakota NE-5 (347)

ND-5 (199) North Carolina Ohio

OH-4 Puerto Rico Puerto Rico

PR-5 (347) PR-4 South Dakota

Texas SD-2 (347)

TX-4 Wisconsin

WI-3, WI-6 (347) UT-1, UT-3

Utah

Washington PROGRAM EVALUATION

WA-9 (290) Arizona

Wisconsin AZ-19 (290), AZ-20 (348)

WI-2, WI-3

California CA-5 (290), CA-10,

CA-13 (202) QUALIFICATIONS Hawaii California

HI-2 CA-6, CA-8, CA-11

QUALIFICATIONS (Continued)

Iowa

IA-1

Kansas

KS-3 (190), KS-5 (309)

Minnesota

MN-2

Missouri

MO-7 (168)

Nebraska

NE-3, NE-4 (209)

New Jersey

NJ-5 (286)

New York

NY-26 (209)

Pennsylvania

PA-4

Tennessee

TN-1, TN-5 (190)

West Virginia

WV-4 (190)

SEASONAL WORKERS

Arizona

AZ-11 (312)

California

CA-7 (310), CA-9 (204)

Maine

ME-6

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT WORKERS

Florida

FL-1

Kansas

KS-4

Maine

ME-2

New York

NY-9

Washington

WA-3

STATE FINANCING

Alabama

AL-1

Arizona

AZ-4 (224), AZ-6, AZ-9, AZ-12

California

CA-6

Florida

FL-1, FL-4, FL-7 (51)

Hawaii

HI-2, HI-3

Idaho

ID-4, ID-5

Illinois

IL-1

Maine

ME-5

Missouri

MO-3, MO-4

Montana

MT-2

Nebraska

NE-2

Nevada

NV-3

New Jersey

NJ-11 (295)

New York

NY-4, NY-23 (51)

17

STATE FINANCING (Continued)			
Ohio	Iowa		
OH-5 (51)	IA-2		
Oregon	Kentucky		
OR-2, OR-3, OR-7 (51)	KY-1 (347)		
South Carolina	Louisiana		
SC-2	LA-2		
Utah	Maine		
UT-2	ME-5		
West Virginia	Massachusetts		
WV-1	MA-6, MA-8		
	Michigan		
STATE LEGISLATION	MI-2, MI-3, MI-4		
Alaska	Minnesota		
AK-2	MN-3		
Arizona	New Jersey		
AZ-2, AZ-4 (224),	NJ-4, NJ-11 (295)		
AZ-9	New York		
Arkansas	NY-1, NY-10, NY-17,		
AR-1	NY-26 (209), NY-28 (214)		
California	Pennsylvania		
CA-2, CA-10, CA-12, CA-13	PA-11 (194)		
Colorado	Puerto Rico		
CO-1	PR-5 (347)		
Connecticut	Rhode Island		
CT-5, CT-7,	RI-4		
CT-12 (347)	South Dakota		
Georgia	SD-2 (347)		
GA-1	Tennessee		
Hawaii	TN-1		
HI-1, HI-5	Texas		
Idaho	TX-4		
ID-1, ID-2	Utah		
Illinois	UT-3		
IL-6	Vermont		

VT-5

STATE LEGISLATION (Continued)

West Virginia

WV-3, WV-6

Wisconsin

WI-2

New Jersey

NJ-5 (286), NJ-11 (295)

OR-3, OR-4, OR-6

New York

NY-13, NY-22, NY-28 (214)

Oregon

SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFITS

California

CA-16 (114), CA-7 (310)

Georgia

GA-2, GA-4 (37)

Hawaii -

HI-1

Illinois

IL-3 (37)

Maryland

MD-6(37)

Mississippi

MS-1

Missouri

MO-5 (114)

Nevada

NV-8 (114)

New York

NY-25 (114)

Washington

WA-6(37)

TAXABLE WAGE BASE Illinois

IL-1

Iowa

IA-3

New Jersey

NJ-11 (295)

South Dakota

SD-3

TAXING UI BENEFITS

Georgia

GA-3 (35), GA-4 (37)

Illinois

IL-9 (35), IL-3 (37)

Maryland

MD-5 (35), MD-6 (37)

Washington

WA-4 (35), WA-6 (37)

Wisconsin

WI-5 (114)

TRUST FUNDS

Alabama

AL-1

Arizona

AZ-4 (224), AZ-9,

AZ-12

Hawaii

HI-3

Minnesota

MN-1

TAX RATES

Massachusetts

MA-3

Missouri

MO-6 (159)

Nevada

NV-4

19

TRUST FUNDS (Continued) Nevada **New Jersey** NV-2 NJ-9 (69) New Jersey New York NJ-5 (286), NJ-6, NY-11 (333), NY-28 (214), NJ-11 (295) NY-31 (331) New York North Carolina NY-18 NC-2 (121) West Virginia North Dakota WV-1 ND-1 Pennsylvania UNEMPLOYMENT PA-3, PA-7 (09), PA-8 (120), PA-14 (341) Alabama South Carolina AL-5 (121) SC-3 (48), SC-4 (121) Arizona Tennessee AZ-1, AZ-4 (224), AZ-14 (09) TN-4 (121) California Washington CA-3 (232) WA-1, WA-5 (45), WA-6 (37) Colorado West Virginia CO-2 (45) WV-2, WV-5 Florida FL-8 (121) UNION WORKERS Georgia Arizona GA-2, GA-4 (37), GA-5 (121), AZ-2GA-6(151), GA-7 (341) California Illinois CA-11 IL-3 (37) Hawaii Indiana HI-8, HI-10 (225) IN-2 (281) New York Iowa NY-28 (214) IA-1 Pennsylvania Maryland PA-4 MD-6 (37)Mississippi WAGES MS-1, MS-3 (121)

Nevada

NV-4, NV-6

Arizona

AZ-3, AZ-5, AZ-14 (09)

WAGES (Continued) WAITING PERIOD Colorado Nebraska CO-2 (45) NE-4 (209) Georgia New Jersey GA-4 (37) NJ-5 (286) Hawaii North Dakota HI-4 ND-5 (199) Idaho ID-1 WOMEN WORKERS Illinois Alabama IL-3 (37) AL-5 (121) Iowa Arizona IA-1 AZ-11 (312) Maryland California MD-6(37)CA-7 (310) Missouri Colorado MO-1, MO-2 CO-2 (45) Nevada Florida NV-4 FL-8 (121) New Hampshire Georgia NH-2 GA-4 (37), GA-5 (121) North Carolina Illinois NC-1 IL-3 (37) Oregon Louisiana OR-4 LA-5 (121) Pennsylvania Maryland PA-7 (09), PA-8 (37) MD-6(37)Puerto Rico Michigan PR-1 MI-4 South Dakota Mississippi SD-1 MS-3 (121) Vermont North Carolina VT-3 NC-2 (121) Washington WA-1, WA-5 (45), WA-6 (37) West Virginia

WV-8 (209)

WOMEN WORKERS (Continued)

South Carolina

SC-4 (121)

Tennessee

TN-4 (121)

Washington

WA-5 (45), WA-6 (37)

WORK TEST

Missouri

MO-7 (168)

BIBLIOGRAPHY WITH ANNOTATIONS

Alabama

AL-1 Alabama Department of Industrial Relations, Research and Statistics Division.

Alabama - Financing Unemployment Insurance. 1975, 85pp.

A study of estimated benefit costs and reserves required to maintain an adequate trust fund.

AL-2 Alabama Department of Industrial Relations, Research and Statistics Division.

The Incidence of Claims Filing among UI Beneficiaries with Benefit Years Ending in 1966, 1967 and 1968: Alabama. 1970, 49pp.

AL-3 Alabama Department of Industrial Relations.

Unemployment Insurance Benefit Entitlement Study: Alabama 1964-1966. 1968, 81pp.

AL-4 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report presents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

AL-5 Maclachlan, Gretchen, et.al.

Unemployment in a South in Recession: A Special Report. Atlanta, GA: The Southern Regional Council, February 1976.

This report is a collection of papers examining the effects of a recession on southern workers. Some major conclusions are: (1) Southern black workers have been harder hit by recession layoffs than southern white workers, (2)

southern black unemployment is underestimated since a large number of unemployed blacks are not counted as unemployed because their employers are not required by State law to contribute to the UI program (a situation particularly evident in construction and construction-related industries), and (3) that "sub-employment," defined by the authors to include the unemployed, discouraged workers, workers involuntarily employed part time, and workers earning below the poverty line, would be a more accurate measure of southern economic hardship.

The report also discusses (1) 1974-1976 developments in Congress concerning unemployment, (2) the plight of women in the South during recessionary periods, and (3) basic UI policies in Southern states. Charts, tables. (121).

AL-6 Raley, Nan H., and Curtis C. Hall.

"Use of Universities in UI Staff Training: The Alabama Experience," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, III, No.5 (May 1966), 8-9.

This article discusses the success of an electronic data processing seminar for field auditors that was jointly organized and administered by the Alabama Unemployment Compensation agency and the University of Alabama in 1966. (193).

Alaska

AK-1 Sewell, Kellus N., Naomi K. Smith and Mary Klimas.

Alaska Employment Security Division, Research and Analysis Section.

Alaska Unemployment Insurance Financial Handbook. (Department of Labor Actuarial Study, Publication No.1). 1972, 99pp.

AK-2 Sewell, Kellus N.

Alaska Employment Security Division, Research and Analysis Section.

Alaska Unemployment Insurance: Governor Egan's 1971 Amendment to Strengthen and Improve Alaska's Unemployment Compensation Program. (Department of Labor Actuarial Study, Publication No.6). 1971, 10pp.

AK-3 Withers, Elfrieda.

Research and Analysis Section, Employment Security Division.

Benefit Entitlement Study: 1960, 1961, 1962: Alaska. 1966, 118pp.

AK-4 Alaska Employment Security Division, Research and Analysis Section.

Characteristics of Alaska Unemployment Insurance Claimants, 1960-1961.

AK-5 Alaska Employment Security Division, Research and Analysis Section.

Characteristics of Alaska Unemployment Insurance Claimants: 1963-1964.

AK-6 Alaska Employment Security Division, Research and Analysis Section.

The Role of Unemployment Insurance in Alaska Household Finances. 1974.

AK-7 U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, Unemployment Insurance Service.

"UI Research Studies," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, IV, No.2 (February 1967), ii-5.

This article reviews a "Benefit Entitlement Study" covering the years 1958 through 1962. This study is a byproduct of the Continuous Wage and Benefit History program maintained by the Alaskan UI system. Based on an analysis of the characteristics of midyear (1961) workers and on the work histories of midyear workers in prior and subsequent years, several alternative proposals are developed for level of earnings eligibility requirements that best represent labor force attachment. (205).

Arizona

AZ-1 Arizona Employment Security Commission, Unemployment Compensation Division.

Arizona Growth Trends, 1957-1966: Economic, Employment, Population. 1966, 30pp.

AZ-2 Bohlander, George W.

"Arizona Labor Relations: A Current Assessment," Arizona Business, XXV, No.8 (October 1978), 3-10.

Arizona labor relations are shaped by the many forces that influence the expansion of business and unionism in the state. Several bills are currently before the state legislature covering such areas as Medicaid repeal, unemployment insurance appeals, workmen's compensation and prohibitions on use of strike breakers. Tables.

AZ-3 Arizona Employment Security Commission, Unemployment Compensation Division.

Arizona Wages and Industry 1965-1967. (Continuous wage and benefit history of unemployment insurance covered workers, report No.2). 1969, 61pp.

AZ-4 Arizona Department of Economic Security, Bureau of Information and Research Analysis.

Long-Range Financial Planning for Unemployment Insurance Benefit Payments, 1975-1981. Phoenix, AZ: Arizona Department of Economic Security, September 1975.

Arizona recognized that high unemployment rates prior to the publication of this report (in September of 1975) and the expansion of the definition of covered employment in the preceding 15 years had placed a severe financial strain on its UI system. To provide for future solvency of the UI system through 1981, this report: (1) examines the present status of Arizona's UI system; (2) computes unemployment projections for the state through 1981; and (3) makes recommendations for changes to Arizona law to meet future liabilities as estimated from the unemployment projections. The recommendations include increasing the taxable wage base, eliminating the adjustment for interest earned on the trust fund balance, and revision of the schedule used to determine the required income for the program.

The text is well supported by graphs and tables explaining the existing and alternative paths of Arizona's UI program. (224).

AZ-5 Arizona Employment Security Commission, Unemployment Compensation Division.

Average Weekly Wage of Arizona UI Claimants. 1971, 49pp.

AZ-6 Arizona Department of Economic Security, Research and Statistics Bureau.

Bonding Provisions for Reimbursable Employers: A Nineteen State Survey and Analysis. 1974, 60pp.

AZ-7 Arizona Employment Security Commission, Unemployment Compensation Division.

Industrial Development in Arizona: Manufacturing, 1966-1968. 1969, 77pp.

AZ-8 Arizona Employment Security Commission, Unemployment Compensation Division. Prepared for the Advisory Council meeting of April 16, 1971.

<u>Duplicate Compensation for Wage Loss in Arizona: A Study of the Claimants who Filed for Unemployment Insurance while Drawing Workmen's Compensation in the Calendar Year 1970. 1971.</u>

AZ-9 Arizona Department of Economic Security.

Noncharged Benefit Payments, Fiscal Year 1972. 1975, 48pp.

This report analyzes the operations of the "noncharge" benefit payment provision of the unemployment insurance program in Arizona that allows, under certain separation conditions, for benefits paid to former employees of an individual base period employer to be charged only to the pooled fund rather than directly to the employer's account.

AZ-10 Arizona Employment Security Commission, Unemployment Compensation Division.

Population Estimates of Arizona.

AZ-11 Arizona Department of Economic Security.

Reasons for Unemployment in Maricopa County. 1973, 153pp.

This study examines the personal characteristics of Arizona claimants who remained unemployed during a six-month period of "full employment." Interviews were conducted with a sample of 2,718 claimants unemployed 3 weeks or more, and with all 948 claimants who remained unemployed 15 weeks (or exhausted benefits). The study correlated the reasons for initial and continued unemployment with: sex, separation status, age, racial or ethnic background, family responsibility, education, usual weekly wage, and primary occupation and industry. The results were not analyzed for statistical significance. (312).

AZ-12 Arizona Employment Security Commission, Unemployment Compensation Division.

Voluntary Contributions. Report, 1963-1968.

AZ-13 Arizona Review.

"Some Characteristics of Arizona's Insured Unemployed," Arizona Review, XXVI, November 1977, 6-8. Tables.

AZ-14 Classen, Kathleen P.

"The Effects of Unemployment Insurance on the Duration of Unemployment and Subsequent Earnings," Proceedings of the Symposium on the Economics of Unemployment Insurance, held April 1976 at the University of Pittsburgh. Published in Industrial and Labor Relations Review, XX, No.4 (July 1977), 438-444.

The author concludes that a \$10.00 increase in the weekly benefit amount results in 1.1 weeks increased duration of unemployment. The research is based on Continuous Wage and Benefit History random samples (excluding only claimants without earnings records in 1968, 1969, or 1970) for Pennsylvania and Arizona for 1968-1970. (09).

AZ-15 Burgess, Paul L., Jerry L. Kingston and Chris Walters.

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

The Adequacy of Unemployment Insurance Benefits: An Analysis of Weekly Benefits Relative to Preunemployment Expenditure Levels. 1978.

To provide an empirical basis for policy decisions regarding the percentage of former wages UI benefits should replace, researchers analyzed data from interviews with 3,196 Arizona UI beneficiaries who filed a first claim in the year beginning in September 1975, and who received benefits for at least five consecutive weeks. The measure of benefit adequacy here is the ratio of weekly benefit amount (WBA) to the beneficiary's former weekly share of the household's necessary and obligated expenses. Analysis of alternative benefit formulas shows that either raising the maximum WBA or providing dependents allowance would increase the overall adequacy ratio, and would most affect the groups that currently have the lowest adequacy ratios. (25).

AZ-16 Burgess, Paul L., Jerry L. Kingston and Chris Walters.

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

The Adequacy of Unemployment Insurance Benefits: An Analysis of Adjustments Undertaken Through Thirteen and Twenty-Five Weeks of Unemployment. 1978.

To assess practical adjustments by UI beneficiaries to prolonged unemployment, researchers analyzed data from interviews with Arizona UI beneficiaries after 5, 13, and 25 consecutive weeks of compensated unemployment in the year beginning in September 1975. Most households that made adjustments made them before the thirteen week interview. Nonbeneficiary income increased in 30-40 percent of the households. One-fourth to one-third of the beneficiary households exhausted their savings.

This book also includes data from a sample of UI beneficiaries who became reemployed. 62 Tables. (26).

AZ-17 U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, Unemployment Insurance Service.

"UI Research Studies," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, III, No.3 (March 1966), 14-21.

This article reviews unemployment insurance related studies prepared during 1965. The description of each study includes a statement of purpose, an explanation of the data, and all findings or results. The Arizona study considers pensioners. (190).

AZ-18 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Project: Intercity Comparisons. U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service, January 1973.

To make intercity comparisons of the effectiveness of the Service-to-Claimants projects conducted in Boston, Minneapolis-St. Paul, San Francisco-Oakland, Phoenix and Seattle in 1969-1970, the authors summarize the principal findings of each project and make "test vs. control group" and "test group only" comparisons. The authors assume familiarity with the individual city reports (see Document #290 in this bibliography) and do not define terms, explain evaluation criteria, or detail the limitations of the data. 4 Tables. (289).

AZ-19 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Projects, 5 Volumes, all published in December 1972. Specific title as follows:

- The Phoenix Service-to-Claimants Project

To evaluate the Five Cities Service-to-Claimant project conducted in each of five metropolitan areas (Phoenix, San Francisco-Oakland, Seattle, Boston and Minneapolis-St. Paul), these reports examine long- and short-run effectiveness of the project. In each city, the claimants participating in this project were identified as being "job-ready" but not "job-attached." The claimants were randomly divided into test and control groups. Persons in the test groups received specialized job search assistance, while those in the control groups received conventional assistance.

In the first phase of the short-run analysis of each city's experience, the authors evaluate the differential experiences of the test and control group using these criteria:

- (1) claim series duration
- (2) number spells of unemployment in the benefit year
- (3) total benefits paid in the benefit year

The second phase of the short-run evaluation is based on participants in the test groups only, and examines the differential experiences of those with high vs. low ratings on employability factors for the same three variables and for known unemployment proportions. (290).

AZ-20 Burgess, Paul, and Jerry Kingston.

"Application of Multiple Linear Discriminant Analysis to the Labor Market Experience of Unemployment Insurance Claimants." Tempe, AZ: Arizona State University, Department of Economics, 1947.

The authors of this report explore the possibility of using multiple linear discriminant analysis to develop "reasonably accurate 'screening profiles' " of UI claimants. Because resources for specialized UI services are limited, and because the needs of claimants are so diverse, claimant profiles that would help identify the level and type of assistance appropriate for each claimant could significantly enhance the ability of policy and program planners to spend UI funds in the most cost effective manner.

To analyze the usefulness of discriminant analysis techniques in developing such profiles, the authors use the techniques to establish a classification matrix for two sets of mutually exclusive categories: (1) claimants who experience

unemployment of short (1-4 weeks), medium (5-15 weeks) and long (16 or more weeks) duration; and (2) exhaustees and nonexhaustees. Variables are developed from the Phoenix Service-to-Claimants (STC) Project, and the discrimant functions for each set of categories are estimated on the basis of personal and labor market characteristics of these (STC) claimants. (348).

Arkansas

AR-1 Arkansas Employment Security Division.

Arkansas Employment Security Law, as Amended by the 69th General Assembly. 1973, 157pp.

California

CA-1 Sevick, Charles, Gene Inman and Frederick Nichols.

Characteristics Study of Unemployment Insurance Claimants Who Filed Claims in 1970. 1974, 55pp.

CA-2 California Legislature, Joint Committee on Unemployment Compensation Disability Insurance.

Final Report. 1967, 142pp.

CA-3 Vickery, Clair.

Unemployment Insurance in Transition: An Evaluation of the Impact of UI. USDL, Employment and Training Administration, Research Grant No. 91-06-76-28. Berkeley, CA: Institute of Industrial Relations, University of California, March 1978.

Using both national data and California data, this author evaluates the following three issues relating to UI: benefit adequacy, financing, and the impact of UI on the unemployment rate. Findings of this study show that, contrary to public opinion, UI benefits are generally inadequate for families whose primary worker is unemployed. (232).

CA-4 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Project: Intercity Comparisons. U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service, January 1973.

To make intercity comparisons of the effectiveness of the Service-to-Claimants projects conducted in Boston, Minneapolis-St. Paul, San Francisco-Oakland, Phoenix and Seattle in 1969-1970, the authors summarize the principal findings of each project and make "test vs. control group" and "test group only" comparisons. The authors assume familiarity with the individual city reports (see Document #290 in this bibliography) and do not define terms, explain evaluation criteria, or detail the limitations of the data. 4 Tables. (289).

CA-5 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Projects, 5 Volumes, all published in December 1972. Specific title as follows:

- The San Francisco-Oakland Service-to-Claimants Project

To evaluate the Five Cities Service-to-Claimant project conducted in each of five metropolitan areas (Phoenix, San Francisco-Oakland, Seattle, Boston and Minneapolis-St. Paul), these reports examine long- and short-run effectiveness of the project. In each city, the claimants participating in this project were identified as being "job-ready" but not "job-attached." The claimants were randomly divided into test and control groups. Persons in the test groups received specialized job search assistance, while those in the control groups received conventional assistance.

In the first phase of the short-run analysis of each city's experience, the authors evaluate the differential experiences of the test and control group using these criteria:

- (1) claim series duration
- (2) number spells of unemployment in the benefit year
- (3) total benefits paid in the benefit year

The second phase of the short-run evaluation is based on participants in the test groups only, and examines the differential experiences of those with high vs. low ratings on employability factors for the same three variables and for known unemployment proportions. (290).

CA-6 California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board.

Index-Digest of Precedent Decisions: Benefit Ruling, Tax, and Disability. 1972. Updated biannually.

CA-7 California Employment Development Department, Employment Data and Research Division.

UI Claimant Characteristics Study: Claim Data and Benefit Experience of UI Claimants Filing Between July 1, 1976 and June 30, 1977. January 1979.

This study examines 5,657 California UI claimants and relates the claimants' benefit experience (both benefit duration and benefit amount) to age, sex, ethnic group, marital status, family size, education, occupation, and industry. The data were collected from questionnaires filled out by claimants, wage schedules completed by employers and Employment Development Department records. The study was conducted between July 1, 1976 and June 30, 1977. During that period the Extended Duration Program, the Emergency Extended Unemployment Act of 1974, and the Federal Extended Benefits programs were all in effect. (310).

CA-8 Couch, Edward W.

"Liberalizing Employee-Benefit Programs," <u>Pacific Business</u>, LXV, No.6 (November/December 1975), 25.

This article discusses the probable effect of the unemployment insurance appeals board (UIAB). Its decisions will reflect the more liberal stance of the new administration. The UIAB hears appeals resulting from unemployment insurance and unemployment disability insurance disputes and from questions on taxes collected to support these two programs. Greater efforts will be made to settle cases without formal litigation, and the boards will scrutinize carefully fees granted applicants' attorneys.

CA-9 Goodwin, Robert C.

"Unemployment Insurance Coverage for Farmworkers," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, IV, No.1 (January 1967), 1-10.

This article was written in 1967 in support of proposals to extend UI coverage to agricultural workers in California. The author refutes the two major arguments against covering farm workers: (1) costs would be prohibitive because of the seasonal and unstable nature of much farmwork, and (2) the program would be expensive and difficult to administer because farmers do not keep adequate records. (204).

CA-10 California Department of Human Resources Development, Legal Division.

Text, Subject Index and Analysis of Statutes of 1973 Enacted During the 1973-74 Regular Session Affecting the Unemployment Insurance Code. 1973.

CA-11 San Francisco Employers Council, Department of Research and Analysis.

The Trade Dispute Disqualification Under the California Unemployment Insurance Act. 1948, 26pp.

CA-12 Bancroft-Whitney Company.

Unemployment Insurance Code, Annotated, of the State of California, Adopted April 21, 1953, with amendments up to and including those of the 1970 legislature. 1971, 717pp. Annotated and indexed by the publisher's editorial staff.

CA-13 Halcrow, Douglas H.

"Regional Office Role in Evaluating Interstate Benefit Operations," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, III, No.8 (August 1966), 16-18.

This article reviews the evaluation procedure followed by the San Francisco Regional Office during the 1960's in the investigation of local office and central office handling of interstate UI claims. The evaluation was conducted to determine the source of delays in UI payments. (202).

CA-14 Walters, Dan.

"Fiscal bends for UI fund: new appeals board-easy touch for the unemployed," California Journal, VII, September 1976, 297-8.

CA-15 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.; U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report presents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

CA-16 U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

Federal Supplemental Benefits Post-Exhaustion Study. November 1976.

This report provides information on the labor force status and the extent of the public assistance program participation during the two months follwing exhaustion of FSB benefits by UI beneficiaries during 1975. Data covers five states including California. This study uses previously sampled groups (UI exhaustees who later received FSB) to obtain information on post-FSB experience. Information was obtained by mail questionnaire and response rates ranged from 67 percent in Wisconsin to 92 percent in New York. (114).

Colorado

CO-1 Colorado Division of Employment.

Colorado Employment Security Act. 1969, 124pp.

The publication also contains state rules and regulations.

CO-2 Felder, Henry E.

<u>Unemployment Insurance and the Duration of Unemployment.</u> Prepared for the SIME/DIME Spring Conference, May 15-17, 1978. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International, May 1978.

This report reviews a study conducted in Denver and Seattle to determine the effects of nonwage income including welfare payments, on work disincentives and post-unemployment wages. Seattle UI males showed a longer duration of unemployment than non-UI males; in contrast, Seattle UI females and all of the sampled Denver UI recipients showed shorter periods of unemployment. The author hypothesizes that these differences were caused by variations in state UI requirements and by individual and demographic characteristics that affect the behavior of nonwage recipients. (45).

Connecticut

CT-1 Farrish, Raymond.

Economic Consideration of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture in Connecticut. College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Connecticut, 1973.

CT-2 University of Connecticut, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

Economic and Social Considerations in Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers. Regional Report I, 1973.

CT-3 University of Connecticut, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

Impact of Extensions of Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture. Regional Report II, 1973.

CT-4 Taylor, John, and Raymond Farrish.

The Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers in Connecticut. College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Connecticut, 1973.

CT-5 Connecticut General Assembly, Joint Legislative Program Review Committee.

Report on the Connecticut State Unemployment Compensation Program. 1975, 110pp.

CT-6 Connecticut State Advisory Council on Employment Security.

Report on a Study on Merit Rating in Connecticut. 1969.

CT-7 Connecticut Labor Department.

State Labor Laws. Wethersfield, Conn., 1971.

This document has four volumes: (1) Labor Statutes, (2) Labor Regulations, (3) Unemployment Compensation Statutes, and (4) Unemployment Compensation Regulations.

CT-8 Connecticut Labor Department.

A Study of Connecticut Residents after Unemployment Compensation Benefit Expire. Wethersfield, Conn., 1974.

CT-9 Connecticut Labor Department

A Study of Unemployment Insurance Exhaustees in Connecticut. Wethersfield, Conn., 1976.

CT-10 U.S. General Accounting Office.

Worker Adjustment Assistance Under the Trade Act of 1974 to New England Workers Has Been Primarily Income Maintenance. HRD-78-153. NTIS doc. no. PB-287-529. October 31, 1978.

To evaluate the adjustment assistance benefits paid through the Trade Act of 1974 to New England workers, this report analyzes the characteristics of a random sample of 239 individuals from a population of 7,820 receiving benefits under the Trade Act from April 3, 1975 through December 31, 1976. The report includes responses to the findings by states and Federal officials and comparisons of (1) characteristics of benefit recipients under the Trade Act who exhausted benefits with the recipients who had not, (2) recipients of Trade Act benefits, by industry, and (3) Trade Act recipients and UI claimants not receiving Trade Act benefits. (59).

CT-11 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report presents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

CT-12 Munts, Raymond.

"Partial Benefit Schedules in Unemployment Insurance: Their Effect on Work Incentive," <u>Journal of Human Resources</u>, 5 (Spring 1970), 160-176.

For administrative convenience, most states disregard the part-time earnings of UI claimants (up to a certain fraction of the weekly benefit amount). After that point is reached, however, benefits are reduced dollar for dollar by additional earnings. This dollar for dollar reduction of benefits constitutes a marginal "tax" rate of 100 percent on the additional earnings. The author of this paper feels this tax rate, which exists in 42 states, decreases the incentive for unemployed workers to obtain part-time work.

The author analyzes the partial benefit schedules in South Dakota, Kentucky, Connecticut, Puerto Rico, Michigan, Nebraska and Wisconsin. He discusses partial benefit data from Wisconsin in detail; these data show that workers significantly adjust their partial work schedules to serve their economic interests. (347).

	•	
•		

Delaware

DE-1 Elterich, Joachim, and Raymond Farrish.

The Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture in Delaware, Part I. Bulletin 392, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Delaware. 1972.

DE-2 Elterich, Joachim, and Richard Bieker.

The Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture In Delaware, Part II. Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Delaware, 1973.

DE-3 Delaware Unemployment Compensation Commission.

Rules and Regulations Under Part III, Title 19, Delaware Code of 1953. Unemployment Compensation September 1953, Administered by the Unemployment Compensation Commission. 1963, 35pp.

District of Columbia

DC-1 Mohbat, Joseph.

"So You Think It's Easy Money," <u>Washingtonian</u>, VIII (June 1973), 53-54, 56,58-60, 62, 64.

This author presents factual information on Washington, D.C.'s UI program and uses a personal approach to explore the feelings and emotions of both the unemployed and the employees who operate D.C.'s Unemployment Compensation program. (160).

Florida

FL-1 Florida Division of Employment Security, Office of Research and Statistics.

Estimated Financial Effects of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Florida Local Government Employees. 46pp.

FL-2 Polopolus, Leo, and Robert Emerson.

Florida Agricultural Employers and the Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Florida, 1973.

FL-3 Polopolus, Leo, and Robert D. Emerson.

Florida Agricultural Labor and Unemployment Insurance. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Florida, 1975, 55pp.

FL-4 Florida Department of Commerce, Office of Research and Planning.

Florida Employment and Payrolls Covered by the Unemployment Compensation Law.

FL-5 Moses, Galen, and Leo Polopolus.

The Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers in Florida. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Florida, 1972.

FL-6 Emerson, Robert.

Migration and the Cost of Unemployment Protection for Agricultural Workers. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Florida, 1972.

FL-7 Kauffman, Peter W., et. al.

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

An Analysis of Some of the Effects of Increasing the Duration of Regular Unemployment Insurance Benefits. 1978.

Based on sample data collected for Ohio, New York, Florida, and Oregon in 1973, 1974, and 1975, this report estimates the cost of one alternative formula for extending UI benefits from 26 to 39 weeks and compares this estimate to actual costs incurred in 1975 (when an extended benefits program was in operation). The authors also estimate the effects of their formula on average duration of unemployment, benefit exhaustion rates, and the distribution of claimants by population groups. The authors include 75 figures that provide summaries by state and breakdowns by all topic areas of the report. (51).

FL-8 Maclachlan, Gretchen, et. al.

Unemployment in a South in Recession: A Special Report. Atlanta, GA: The Southern Regional Council, February 1976.

This report is a collection of papers examining the effects of a recession on southern workers. Some major conclusions are: (1) Southern black workers have been harder hit by recession layoffs than southern white workers, (2) Southern black unemployment is underestimated since a large number of unemployed blacks are not counted as unemployed because their employers are not required by State law to contribute to the UI program (a situation particularly evident in construction and construction-related industries), and (3) that "sub-employment," defined by the authors to include the unemployed, discouraged workers, workers involuntarily employed part time, and workers earning below the poverty line, would be a more accurate measure of southern economic hardship.

The report also discusses (1) 1974-1976 developments in Congress concerning unemployment, (2) the plight of women in the South during recessionary periods, and (3) basic UI policies in Southern states. Charts, tables. (121).

Georgia

GA-1 Georgia Employment Security Agency.

Employment Security Law, as Amended through March 1960. 1960, 112pp.

GA-2 Schaffer, Beverly K.

"Experience with Supplementary Unemployment Benefits: A Case Study of the Atlantic Steel Company," <u>Industrial and Labor Relations Review</u>, XXII, No.1 (October, 1968), 85-94.

GA-3 Corson, Walter and Walter Nicholson.

Mathematica Policy Research.

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Longitudinal Study of Unemployment Insurance Exhaustees: Final Report on Waves I & II. Princeton, N.J.: Mathematica Policy Research, January 1976.

To assess family behavior responses to UI benefit exhaustion, researchers interviewed over 2,000 exhaustees in four cities (Atlanta, Baltimore, Chicago, Seattle). Interviews were held at the time of exhaustion (October 1974) and four months later (February 1975). 135 Tables. (35).

GA-4 Corson, Walter, Walter Nicholson and Felicity Skidmore.

Mathematica Policy Research.

Experiences of Unemployment Insurance Recipients during the First Year After Exhausting Benefits: Final Report. Princeton, N.J., Mathematica Policy Research, August 1976.

This study reports the results of a three-wave longitudinal interview of over 2,000 individuals who exhausted their regular unemployment insurance benefits in October 1974. Interviews were conducted at the time of exhaustion, four months later, and more than one year after exhaustion in Atlanta, Baltimore, Chicago, and Seattle. The present report includes a summary of the entire study and a detailed analysis of the Wave III interview. Issues examined in detail include labor market experiences of exhaustees, exhaustees' participation in the extended UI benefits program (implemented in early 1975), exhaustees' income, assets, and consumption patterns, use of transfer programs by exhaustees, and gaps in health insurance coverage experienced by exhaustees. (37).

GA-5 Maclachlan, Gretchen, et. al.

Unemployment in a South in Recession: A Special Report. Atlanta, GA: The Southern Regional Council, February 1976.

This report is a collection of papers examining the effects of a recession on southern workers. Some major conclusions are: (1) Southern black workers have been harder hit by recession layoffs than Southern white workers, (2) Southern black unemployment is underestimated since a large number of unemployed blacks are not counted as unemployed because their employers are not required by State law to contribute to the UI program (a situation particularly evident in construction and construction-related industries), and (3) that "sub-employment," defined by the authors to include the unemployed, discouraged workers, workers involuntarily employed part time, and workers earning below the poverty line, would be a more accurate measure of southern economic hardship.

The report also discusses (1) 1974-1976 developments in Congress concerning unemployment, (2) the plight of women in the South during recessionary periods, and (3) basic UI policies in Southern states. Charts, tables. (121).

GA-6 Newton, Floyd C., and Harvey S. Rosen.

"Unemployment Insurance, Income Taxation, and Duration of Unemployment: Evidence from Georgia," Southern Economic Journal, XLV, No. 3 (January 1979), 773-784.

In this econometric study the authors attempt to provide some alternative estimates of the effect of UI on duration of unemployment. Data comes from Georgia's unemployment insurance files for the period 1974-1976 and consists of two groups: those who had benefit years beginning before July 1, 1975, and those whose benefit years began after that date. The data is randomly selected and includes 627 observations. The major conclusion suggested by the model is that the opportunity cost of unemployment and the potential length of benefit duration have significant effects upon duration of unemployment. (151).

GA-7 Hight, Joseph E.

"Insured Unemployment Rates, Extended Benefits, and Unemployment Insurance Exhaustions," Proceedings of The 28th Annual Winter Meeting, Industrial Relations Research Association (December 28-30, 1975), 242-249.

The author of this article uses 1972-1974 time series data from Pennsylvania and Georgia to estimate the relationships between the exhaustion of UI benefits and the insured unemployment rate (IUR) and the duration of benefits. Regression analysis shows that the exhaustion rate rises as the insured unemployment rate rises, and that the provision of longer benefit duration reduces the exhaustion rate. In order to maintain exhaustion rates in Georgia and Pennsylvania at a "reasonably low 15 percent" the author calculates that benefit duration should be 30 weeks at an IUR below 4 percent, 40 weeks between 4 and 6 percent, and 50 weeks above 6 percent. (341.)

Hawaii

HI-1 Hawaii Unemployment Insurance Division.

Employment Security Law and Related Rules: Agricultural Unemployment Compensation Law: Additional Unemployment Compensation Benefits. 1973, 101pp.

HI-2 Migita, Lloyd.

Office of the Legislative Reference Bureau, State of Hawaii.

Hawaii Unemployment Insurance Benefit Financing System: A Review of Two Proposals. 1978, 116pp.

This report discusses: (1) Hawaii's unemployment insurance program, (2) alternative unemployment insurance financing, (3) the benefit ratio and revised reserve ratio financing systems, and (4) study conclusions and recommendations.

HI-3 Hawaii Department of Labor and Industrial Relations.

Hawaii Unemployment Insurance Program: An Analysis of Reserve Fund Adequacy. 1972, 91pp.

HI-4 Ferber, R., and K. Sasaki.

"Labor Force and Wage Projections in Hawaii," <u>Industrial Relations</u>, V, No.3, (May 1966), 72-85. Tables.

HI-5 Hawaii Employers Council.

Labor Laws and Regulations in Hawaii. 1965, 118pp.

HI-6 Hawaii Department of Labor and Industrial Relations.

Unemployed Claimants in Hawaii, 1967: Pensioner Study. 1971, 81pp.

HI-7 Dawson, Paul.

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Research and Statistics Office.

Unemployment Insurance Fact Book: Historical Unemployment Insurance Data from the Start of the Program in Hawaii to the Present. 1971, 33pp.

HI-8 Heiman, G.

"Court Decision is Bad News for Strikers," <u>Nation's Business</u>, LXIII, No.12, (December 1975), 70.

This article analyzes a decision on granting compensation to workers who walk off jobs voluntarily. The case involved the Hawaii telephone company.

HI-9 U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, Unemployment Insurance Service.

"UI Research Studies," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, IV, No.2 (February 1967), ii-5.

This article reviews a September 1966 report describing the personal characteristics and benefit entitlement of Hawaii's UI claimants during calendar year 1965. The report, entitled "The Unemployment Insurance Claimant - 1965," was also based on data collected under a Continuous Wage and Benefit History program. (205).

HI-10 Kadzik, Peter J.

"Federal Preemption of State Payments of Unemployment Compensation to Strikers," Georgetown Law Journal, LXIV (July 1976), 1343-1361.

This author examines the apparent contradiction in court rulings on whether Federal labor law preempts state payments of UI benefits to strikers. In Hawaiian Telephone Co. v. Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the court suspended state UI benefit payments to strikers. In Grinnell Corp. v. Hackett, (the case was not closed as of the publication date) the court placed emphasis on the state interest involved in UI benefit payment and noted that a balancing of Federal and state issues might be necessary. The author details how the court reviewed the Congressional intent of UI laws and the issues of state interests involved. The author concludes: "Adequate adjudication of these difficult problems requires a balancing process that should include consideration of the Congressional intent with respect to preemption, the degree of infringement, the area of Federal labor policy infringed upon, the degree of Federal concern, and the state's interest in the activity causing the infringement." (225).

Idaho

ID-1 Idaho Department of Employment, Bureau of Research and Analysis.

Continuous Wage and Benefit History of Workers and Claimants Under the State of Idaho Employment Security Law, 1972. 1975, 82pp.

ID-2 Idaho Department of Employment.

State of Idaho Employment Security Law, as Passed by the Legislature of the State of Idaho, 30th Session, 1940 and as Amended by Succeeding Legislative Sessions Including the First Regular Session of the 44th Legislature, 1977, and Including Regulations and Rules of the Department of Employment. 1978.

ID-3 U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, Unemployment Insurance Service.

"UI Research Studies," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, IV, No.2 (February 1967), ii-5.

This article reviews the report "The Insured Unemployed in Idaho, Duration and Exhaustions of Unemployment Insurance." The report points out important facts about claimants who drew one or more full weeks of benefits in the 1963-1964 benefit year. In addition, the report compares certain experiences of all claimants with those of claimants who exhausted their benefits. (205).

ID-4 Idaho Department of Employment, Bureau of Research and Analysis.

Unemployment Insurance Costs in Idaho. 1966.

ID-5 Idaho Department of Employment, Bureau of Research and Analysis.

Unemployment Insurance Financing and Benefit Costs: A Study. 1974, 52pp.

ID-6 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report presents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

Illinois

IL-1 Illinois Bureau of Employment Security, Division of Unemployment Compensation.

Effects of an Increase in the Tax Base for Unemployment Compensation in Illinois. 1967.

IL-2 Illinois State Employment Service, Chicago Research and Statistics Unit.

Employed Workers Covered by the Illinois Unemployment Compensation Act in the Chicago Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, 1955-1965, by Chicago Postal Zones, Geographic Areas, and ISES Office Areas. 1967.

IL-3 Corson, Walter, Walter Nicholson and Felicity Skidmore.

Mathematica Policy Research.

Experiences of Unemployment Insurance Recipients during the First Year After Exhausting Benefits: Final Report. Princeton, NJ, Mathematica Policy Research, August 1976.

This study reports the results of a three-wave longitudinal interview of over 2000 individuals who exhausted their regular unemployment insurance benefits in October 1974. Interviews were conducted at the time of exhaustion, four months later, and more than one year after exhaustion in four sites: Atlanta, Baltimore, Chicago, and Seattle. The general purpose of the study is to investigate the impact of the exhaustion of UI benefits on exhaustees and their families. The present report includes a summary of the entire study and a detailed analysis of the Wave III interviews. Issues examined in detail include labor market experiences of exhaustees, exhaustees' participation in extended UI benefits program (implemented in early 1975), exhaustees' income, assets, and consumption patterns, use of transfer programs by exhaustees, and gaps in health insurance coverage experienced by exhaustees. (37).

IL-4 Chicago Area Labor Market Analysis Unit.

Employed Workers Covered by the Illinois Unemployment Compensation Act, 1955-62.

IL-5 Illinois Bureau of Employment Security, Division of Unemployment Compensation.

Fact Book on Unemployment Compensation in Illinois, the United States, and Selected Industrial States. 1969.

IL-6 Illinois Bureau of Employment Security, Division of Unemployment Compensation.

A Guide to the Illinois Unemployment Compensation Act. 1968, 71pp.

IL-7 Illinois Department of Labor.

Rules and Regulations for Administration of the Illinois Unemployment Compensation Act. 1963.

IL-8 Illinois General Assembly, Joint Committee on Unemployment Compensation.

Delays in the Payment of Unemployment Compensation Benefits of Illinois, 1974-1976: Final Report of the Joint Committee on Unemployment Compensation to the Illinois General Assembly. 1976, 211pp.

IL-9 Corson, Walter and Walter Nicholson.

Mathematica Policy Research.

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Longitudinal Study of Unemployment Insurance Exhaustees: Final Report on Waves I & II. Princeton, NJ: Mathematica Policy Research, January 1976.

To assess family behavior responses to UI benefit exhaustion, researchers interviewed over 2,000 exhaustees in four cities (Atlanta, Baltimore, Chicago, Seattle). Interviews were held at the time of exhaustion (October 1974) and four months later (February 1975). 135 tables. (35).

Indiana

IN-1 Indiana Legislative Council.

Interim Study on Unemployment Compensation. 1975, 8pp.

IN-2 Stephenson, Stanley P., Jr.

A Comparison of Negative Income Tax Payments and Unemployment Insurance Benefits on Unemployment Behavior: Final Report. Department of Labor Contract No. 99-6-828-04-35. July 23, 1979.

The policy research issue addressed in this report is the manner in which aspects of public unemployment insurance (UI) and an experimental negative income tax (NIT) program interacted in affecting the unemployment behavior of black, low-income participants in the Gary Income Maintenance Experiment between 1971 and 1974. (281).

,			

Iowa

IA-1 Iowa Employment Security Commission, Research and Statistics Division.

The Effects of the Disqualification Provision under the Iowa Unemployment Insurance Program. 1969.

IA-2 Sinieropi, A.

Iowa Labor Laws. Iowa University Center for Labor and Management, Iowa City, 1967, 32pp.

This monograph comments on Iowa State labor legislation dealing with discrimination in employment, hours of work, occupational safety, wages, unemployment insurance, employment injuries benefits, labor disputes, etc. References.

IA-3 Iowa Employment Security Commission, Research and Statistics Division.

Taxable Wage Base Study. 1970.

Kansas

KS-1 Kansas Employment Security Division, Research and Information Department.

History of the 60's: A Handbook of Unemployment Insurance, 1960-1969. 1970, 25pp.

KS-2 Administrative Management.

"Phones Now Get Them Where They Used to Drive - \$30,000 Cheaper," Administrative Management, XXXVII, No. 5 (May 1976), 23, 60, 62.

The Unemployment Insurance tax division of the state of Kansas has been able to make more efficient use of its time and personnel through more effective telephone planning. They were taught an organized approach for using the phone for employer contact and all aspects of pre-call planning. The direct savings to the division, after figuring telephone costs and savings on two staff positions, are \$29,000 per year.

KS-3 U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, Unemployment Insurance Service.

"UI Research Studies," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, III, No. 3 (March 1966), 14-21.

This article unemployment insurance related studies prepared during 1965. The description of each study includes a statement of purpose, an explanation of the data, and all findings or results. The Kansas study considers nonmonetary disqualifications. (190)

KS-4 Daicoff, Darwin W.

University of Kansas.

Kansas Employment Security Division, Research and Information Department, and Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance Coverage to State and Local Government Employees in Kansas. 1973, 75pp. Tables.

KS-5 Marx, Lenny.

"Confessions of an Unemployment Cheat," The Washington Monthly, IX (May 1977), 22-36.

This article, by a free-lance writer who received unemployment benefits while making only pro forma efforts to obtain employment, presents a rather cynical view of UI cheating. He reports that because he received \$72 a week tax free UI income from the Kansas Division of Employment, he did not need to work. He also notes that because the UI provisions require only that a claimant seek "suitable work," he had to look for work only as a reporter. The author believes that elimination of such abuse is administratively impossible: If people do not want to work, they will devise a way around the UI job search rules.

The author proposes that abuse be reduced by replacing the "crazy-quilt" of government subsidies to individuals with a guaranteed annual income or a negative income tax. He cites the high and increasing costs of UI programs and the results of recent studies by Feldstein, Classen, Marston, and Holen and Horowitz to support his proposal. (309).

Kentucky

KY-1 Munts, Raymond.

"Partial Benefit Schedules in Unemployment Insurance: Their Effect on Work Incentive," <u>Journal of Human Resources</u>, 5 (Spring 1970), 160-176.

For administrative convenience, most states disregard the part-time earnings of UI claimants (up to a certain fraction of the weekly benefit amount). After that point is reached, however, benefits are reduced dollar for dollar by additional earnings. This dollar for dollar reduction of benefits constitutes a marginal "tax" rate of 100 percent on the additional earnings. The author of this paper feels this tax rate, which exists in 42 states, decreases the incentive for unemployed workers to obtain part-time work.

The author analyzes the partial benefit schedules in South Dakota, Kentucky, Connecticut, Puerto Rico, Michigan, Nebraska and Wisconsin. He discusses partial benefit data from Wisconsin in detail; these data show that workers significantly adjust their partial work schedules to serve their economic interests. (347).

	,		

Louisiana

LA-1 Louisiana Department of Employment Security, Research and Statistics Unit.

Insured Unemployment for Louisiana and the Southeastern States, October 1970-October 1974. 1974.

LA-2 Lousiana Department of Employment Security.

Louisiana Employment Security Law, Regulations and Rules Administration of the Laws, and Rules of the Louisiana Board of Review for Appealed Claims. 1969.

LA-3 Louisiana Department of Employment Security, Research and Statistics Unit.

Unemployment Insurance and Related Statistics in Louisiana.

LA-4 Public Affairs Research Analysis.

"Unemployment Insurance in Louisiana," <u>Public Affairs Research Analysis</u>, January 1977, 1-28. Tables, charts.

LA-5 <u>Unemployment in a South in Recession: A Special Report.</u> Atlanta, GA: The Southern Regional Council, February 1976.

This report is a collection of papers examining the effects of a recession on Southern workers. Some major conclusions are: (1) Southern black workers have been harder hit by recession layoffs than Southern white workers; (2) Southern black unemployment is underestimated since a large number of unemployed blacks are not counted as unemployed because their employers are not required by State law to contribute to the UI program (a situation particularly evident in construction and construction-related industries); and (3) that "sub-employment," defined by the authors to include the unemployed, discouraged workers, workers involuntarily employed part time, and workers earning below the poverty line, would be a more accurate measure of Southern economic hardship.

The report also discusses: (1) 1974-1976 developments in Congress concerning unemployment; (2) the plight of women in the South during recessionary periods; and (3) basic UI policies in Southern states. (121).

Maine

ME-1 Farrish, Raymond.

Economic Considerations of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture in Maine. College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Connecticut, 1973.

ME-2 Maine Employment Security Commission.

Governmental Entities, State, County, Local, Districts, Coverage Under the Maine Employment Security Law. 1978, 20pp.

ME-3 Farrish, Raymond, and John Taylor.

The Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture in Maine. Storrs Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Connecticut, 1973.

ME-4 Maine Employment Security Commission.

Nonprofit Organizations' Coverage Under the Maine Employment Security Law. 1978, 21pp.

ME-5 Maine Employment Security Commission, Manpower Research Division.

Proposed Financing Modifications to the Maine Employment Security Law. 1978.

ME-6 Maine Legislature Interim Committee on Seasonal Employment.

Seasonal Employment: A Report to the 103rd Legislature. 1967.

ME-7 U.S. General Accounting Office.

Working Adjustment Assistance Under the Trade Act of 1974 to New England Workers Has Been Primarily Income Maintenance. HRD-78-153. NTIS doc. no. PB-287-529. October 31, 1978.

To evaluate the adjustment assistance benefits paid through the Trade Act of 1974 to New England Workers, this report analyzes the characteristics of a random sample of 239 individuals from a population of 7,820 receiving benefits under the Trade Act from April 3, 1975 through December 31, 1976. The report includes responses to the findings by states and Federal officials and comparisons of: (1) characteristics of benefit recipients under the Trade Act

who exhausted benefits with the recipients who had not; (2) recipients of Trade Act benefits, by industry; and (3) Trade Act recipients and UI claimants not receiving Trade Act benefits. (59).

ME-8 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report presents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC repicients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

Maryland

MD-1 Maryland Department of Employment Security.

Characteristics of the Long-term Unemployed: A Report on a Study of Claimants Under the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Program, 1961-1962. 1963, 77pp.

MD-2 Covey, Roger, and A. Stewart Holmes.

The Economic Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agriculture in Maryland. Miscellaneous Publication 825, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Maryland, 1973.

MD-3 Holmes, A. Stewart, and Roger Covey.

Extension of Unemployment Insurance to Maryland Agriculture. Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Maryland, 1973.

MD-4 Maryland Department of Employment Security.

Regulations of the Department of Employment Security of Maryland. 1966, 38pp.

MD-5 Corson, Walter, and Walter Nicholson.

Mathematica Policy Research.

U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration. Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Longitudinal Study of Unemployment Insurance Exhaustees: Final Report on Waves I & II. Princeton, N.J.: Mathematica Policy Research, January 1976.

To assess family behavior responses to UI benefit exhaustion, researchers interviewed over 2,000 exhaustees in four cities (Atlanta, Baltimore, Chicago, and Seattle). Interviews were held at the time of exhaustion (October 1974) and four months later (February 1975). 135 tables. (35).

MD-6 Corson, Walter, Walter Nicholson and Felicity Skidmore.

Mathematica Policy Research.

Experiences of Unemployment Insurance Recipients during the First Year After Exhausting Benefits: Final Report. Princeton, N.J., Mathematica Policy Research, August 1976.

This study reports the results of a three-wave longitudinal interview of over 2,000 individuals who exhausted their regular employment insurance benefits in October 1974. Interviews were conducted at the time of exhaustion, four months later, and more than one year after exhaustion in Atlanta, Baltimore, Chicago and Seattle. The present report includes a summary of the entire study and a detailed analysis of the Wave III interview. Issues examined in detail include labor market experiences of exhaustees, exhaustees' participation in the extended UI benefits Program (implemented in early 1975), exhaustees' income, assets, and consumption patterns, use of transfer programs by exhaustees, and gaps in health insurance coverage experienced by exhaustees. (37).

Massachusetts

MA-1 Crossman, Bradford.

Economics of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers in Massachusetts. Report Number I, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Massachusetts, 1972.

MA-2 Crossman, Bradford.

Economics of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers In Massachusetts. Report Number II, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Massachusetts, 1973.

MA-3 Crossman, Bradford.

The Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers in Massachusetts, Report II. Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Massachusetts, 1974, 19pp.

This paper examines cost rates under alternative unemployment coverage provisions and the number of agricultural employers and workers in Massachusetts that would be affected by the proposed provisions.

MA-4 Massachusetts Labor Area Research Department, Research and Information Service.

The Insured Unemployed in Selected Geographic Subdivisions in Massachusetts. 1975.

MA-5 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Project: Intercity Comparisons. U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service, January 1973.

To make intercity comparisons of the effectiveness of the Service-to-Claimants projects conducted in Boston, Minneapolis-St. Paul, San Francisco-Oakland, Phoenix and Seattle in 1969-1970, the authors summarize the principal findings of each project and make "test vs. control group" and "test group only" comparisons. The authors assume familiarity with the individual city reports (see Document #290 in this bibliography) and do not define terms, explain evaluation criteria, or detail the limitations of the data. 4 Tables. (289).

MA-6 Massachusetts Division of Employment Security.

Massachusetts Employment Security Law with Regulations. 1976, 116pp.

MA-7 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Projects, 5 Volumes, all published in December 1972. Specific title as follows:

 The Boston Service-to-Claimants Project: An Evaluation of Short- and Long-run Effectiveness

To evaluate the Five Cities Service-to-Claimant project conducted in each of five metropolitan areas (Phoenix, San Francisco-Oakland, Seattle, Boston and Minneapolis-St. Paul), these reports examine long- and short-run effectiveness of the project. In each city, the claimants participating in this project were identified as being "job-ready" but not "job-attached." The claimants were randomly divided into test and control groups. Persons in the test groups received specialized job search assistance, while those in the control groups received conventional assistance.

In the first phase of the short-run analysis of each city's experience, the authors evaluate the differential experiences of the test and control group using these criteria:

- (1) claim series duration
- (2) number spells of unemployment in the benefit year
- (3) total benefits paid in the benefit year

The second phase of the short-run evaluation is based on participants in the test groups only, and examines the differential experiences of those with high vs. low ratings on employability factors for the same three variables and for known unemployment proportions. (290).

MA-8 Nation's Business.

"How One State Cut Fat from the Jobless Pay Program," <u>Nation's Business</u>, LXV, March 1977, 63.

MA-9 U.S. General Accounting Office.

Working Adjustment Assistance Under the Trade Act of 1974 to New England Workers Has Been Primarily Income Maintenance. HRD-78-153. NTIS doc. no. PB-287-529. October 31, 1978.

To evaluate the adjustment assistance benefits paid through the Trade Act of 1974 to New England workers, this report analyzes the characteristics of a random sample of 239 individuals from a population of 7,820 receiving benefits under the Trade Act from April 3, 1975 through December 31, 1976. The report includes responses to the findings by state and Federal officials and comparisons of: (1) characteristics of benefit recipients under the Trade Act who exhausted benefits with the recipients who had not, (2) recipients of Trade Act benefits, by industry, and (3) Trade Act recipients and UI claimants not receiving Trade Act benefits. (59).

MA-10 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report represents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

Michigan

MI-1 Michigan Employment Security Commission.

Michigan Employment Security Act. 1968, 76pp.

MI-2 Michigan Legislative Service Bureau.

A Ten Year Comparison of Legislative Action, 1957-1966, in the Fields of Higher Education, Elementary and Secondary Education, Senior Citizens, Water and Air Pollution, Workmen's Compensation, Unemployment Compensation, Mental Health, County Governmental Reform and Migratory Labor. 1966.

MI-3 Rossen, Jordan.

"Unemployment Compensation," <u>Wayne Law Review</u>, XIX, January 1973, 767-791.

This article reviews decisions by Michigan courts interpreting the Michigan Employment Secturity Act from July 1, 1971 through June 30, 1972.

MI-4 Rossen, Jordan.

"Unemployment Insurance," Wayne Law Review, XX, January 1974, 741-759.

This article surveys court decisions interpreting the Michigan Employment Security Act. The author also discusses unemployment compensation for maternity leave and back to work payments.

MI-5 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report represents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

MI-6 Munts, Raymond.

"Partial Benefit Schedules in Unemployment Insurance: Their Effect on Work Incentive," Journal of Human Resources, 5 (Spring 1970), 160-176.

For administrative convenience, most states disregard the part-time earnings of UI claimants (up to a certain fraction of the weekly benefit amount). After that point is reached, however, benefits are reduced dollar for dollar by additional earnings. This dollar for dollar reduction of benefits constitutes a marginal "tax" rate of 100 percent on the additional earnings. The author of this paper feels this tax rate, which exists in 42 states, decreases the incentive for unemployed workers to obtain part-time work.

The author analyzes the partial benefit schedules in South Dakota, Kentucky, Connecticut, Puerto Rico, Michigan, Nebraska and Wisconsin. He discusses partial benefit data from Wisconsin in detail; these data show that workers significantly adjust their partial work schedules to serve their economic interests. (347).

Minnesota

MN-1 Minnesota Department of Manpower Services.

Economic Security for Hired Farm Workers: Implications of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Hired Agricultural Labor. 1972.

This 1969-1972 study of the impact of extending UI benefits to agricultural workers in Minnesota considers six possible coverage levels and analyzes the effects of each on employers and workers. The report includes a description of the economic characteristics of the surveyed workers, statistical presentations of the findings and frequent comparisons between Minnesota and national figures.

M N-2 Minnesota Law Review.

"Inequitable Disqualification from Unemployment Insurance in Minnesota," Minnesota Law Review, LIV, June 1970, 1319-1335.

MN-3 Minnesota Department of Employment Services.

Minnesota Employment Services Law. 1974, 65pp.

MN-4 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report represents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

MN-5 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Project: Intercity Comparisons. U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service, January 1973.

To make intercity comparisons of the effectiveness of the Service-to-Claimants projects conducted in Boston, Minneapolis-St. Paul, San Francisco-Oakland,

Phoenix and Seattle in 1969-1970, the authors summarize the principal findings of each project and make "test vs. control group" and "test group only" comparisons. The authors assume familiarity with the individual city reports (see Document #290 in this bibliography) and do not define terms, explain evaluation criteria, or detail the limitations of the data. 4 Tables. (289).

MN-6 Burgess, Paul L., and Jerry L. Kingston.

The Five Cities Service-to-Claimants Projects, 5 Volumes, all published in December 1972. Specific title as follows:

- The Minneapolis-St. Paul Service-to-Claimants Project

To evaluate the Five Cities Service-to-Claimant project conducted in each of five metropolitan areas (Phoenix, San Francisco-Oakland, Seattle, Boston and Minneapolis-St. Paul), these reports examine long- and short-run effectiveness of the project. In each city, the claimants participating in this project were identified as being "job-ready" but not "job-attached." The claimants were randomly divided into test and control groups. Persons in the test groups received specialized job search assistance, while those in the control groups received conventional assistance.

In the first phase of the short-run analysis of each city's experience, the authors evaluate the differential experiences of the test and control group using these criteria:

- (1) claim series duration
- (2) number spells of unemployment in the benefit year
- (3) total benefits paid in the benefit year

The second phase of the short-run evaluation is based on participants in the test groups only, and examines the differential experiences of those with high vs. low ratings on employability factors for the same three variables and for known unemployment proportions. (290).

Mississippi

MS-1 Mississippi Employment Security Commission.

Disaster Unemployment Assistance in Mississippi, December 15, 1969-August 31, 1970: A Report on the Operation of the Disaster Unemployment Assistance Program in Mississippi Following Hurricane Camille. 1970.

MS-2 Mississippi Employment Security Commission.

Unemployment Insurance Benefit Entitlement Study: Mississippi, 1961-1963. 1968, 195pp.

MS-3 Maclachlan, Gretchen, et.al.

Unemployment in a South in Recession: A Special Report. Atlanta, GA: The Southern Regional Council, February 1976.

This report is a collection of papers examining the effects of a recession on Southern workers. Some major conclusions are: (1) Southern black workers have been harder hit by recession layoffs than Southern white workers; (2) Southern black unemployment is underestimated since a large number of unemployed blacks are not counted as unemployed because their employers are not required by State law to contribute to the UI program (a situation particularly evident in construction and construction-related industries); and (3) that "sub-employment," defined by the authors to include the unemployed, discouraged workers, workers involuntarily employed part time, and workers earning below the poverty line, would be a more accurate measure of Southern economic hardship.

The report also discusses: (1) 1974-1976 developments in Congress concerning unemployment; (2) the plight of women in the South during recessionary periods; and (3) basic UI policies in Southern states. Charts, tables. (121).

Missouri

- MO-1 Missouri Division of Employment Security, Research and Analysis Section.

 Covered Employment and Wages, 1965-1967. 1969.
- MO-2 Missouri Division of Employment Security, Research and Analysis Section.

1976 Unemployment Insurance Claimants: Base Period Wages and Benefit Entitlement: A Summarization of Information Gathered for a Five Percent Random Sample of Claimants who Established New Benefit Years During Calender 1976. 1977, 20pp.

MO-3 Missouri Division of Employment Security, Research and Analysis Section.

Unemployment Insurance Benefit Financing, 1975: The Current Dilemma and Implications. 1975, 23pp.

MO-4 Missouri Division of Employment Security.

Unemployment Insurance Financing 1968-1975. 1968, 76pp.

MO-5 U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

Federal Supplemental Benefits Post-Exhaustion Study. November 1976.

This report provides information on the labor force status and the extent of public assistance program participation during the two months following exhaustion of FSB benefits by UI beneficiaries during 1975. Data covers five states: California, Missouri, Nevada, New York, and Wisconsin. Since all of these states except Missouri had already conducted a study of individuals who exhausted their Regular Unemployment Insurance (RUI) entitlement during part of 1973 and 1974, this study uses these previously sampled groups (RUI exhaustees who later received FSB) to obtain information on post-FSB experience. Information was obtained by mail questionnaire and response rates ranged from 67 percent in Wisconsin to 92 percent in New York. (114).

MO-6 Price Waterhouse and Company.

State Tax Comparison Study. St. Louis, MO: Price Waterhouse & Co., August 22, 1978.

This study, performed for the Division of Commerce and Industrial Development of the State of Missouri, compares certain tax liabilities to be incurred in 21 states. The study's purpose is to attract corporate development to Missouri by pointing out the comparative benefits available to corporations under the Missouri tax structure. The study includes six separate tax liabilities or tax-related expenses that might be incurred by a typical manufacturing operation, including: (1) income tax, (2) sales and use tax, (3) property tax, (4) unemployment tax, (5) worker's compensation tax, and (6) franchise tax. (159).

MO-7 Stevens, David W., and V. Christine Austermann.

Equity and Efficiency Considerations in the Unemployment Insurance 'Work Test': An Analysis of Local Office Administrative Practice. ASPER/CON-73/0119/A; Human Resources Research Program. Columbia, MO: Unviersity of Missouri, Columbia, October 1975.

In this report, the authors attempt to assess the impact of variations in administrative procedures in different Employment Service and UI local offices. The sources of the information used for the study are offices located in Kansas City and St. Joseph, Missouri, and the experiences of claimants associated with those offices. (168).

MO-8 Crosslin, Robert L.

Unemployment Insurance and Job Search: Empirical Relationships and Interpretations. State College, MS: Mississippi State University, June 1975.

This paper argues that although UI reduces the costs of unemployment, it also permits a more intensive job search, and that due to these dual influences the directional effect of UI on the duration of unemployment cannot be predicted "a priori." The author designs a model to test the relationship between benefits and job search behavior using three data sources: the St. Louis Service-to-Claimants program of 1972-1973, the 1970 Cleveland Experimental Labor Market Orientation project, and the Supplemental Labor Market Information project conducted in Pittsburgh in 1967.

The author finds that his analysis did not support a strong positive relationship between UI and the duration of unemployment or the level of asking wage. The study did show, however, that difficulty with transportation during job search is the dominant factor affecting unemployment duration, and that more intensive job search is connected with a shorter duration of unemployment. The author recommends that UI claimants with transportation problems be identified in the early processing cycle, and that they receive special counseling or supplemental benefits in the form of bus or subway tokens. He also suggests areas of future research. 71 tables. (318).

MO-9 Austermann, V. Christine, Robert L. Crosslin and David W. Stevens.

Can the Unemployment Insurance Service Improve the Employment Prospects of Claimants? Columbia, MO: University of Missouri-Columbia, 1975.

This study reports the results of an evaluation of the job search assistance rendered to a group of UI beneficiaries under the Service-to-Claimants Project (STCP) in St. Louis, Missouri. The authors tested duration, benefit and effectiveness of assistance variables against the serviced/non-serviced status of claimants and found no differences between the two groups. (182).

_·			

<u>Montana</u>

MT-1 Montana Unemployment Compensation Commission, Research and Analysis Section.

Claimants for Unemployment Compenstion, March and August 1965. 1966.

MT-2 Montana Division of Employment Security, Office of the Legislative Auditor.

Report on the Effectiveness of Financing Selected Eligibility, Job Search, and Benefit Payment Operations of the Unemployment Insurance Program: State of Montana. 1976, 198pp.

MT-3 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report represents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

	1		

Nebraska

NE-1 Nebraska Division of Employment.

Analysis of Monetary Redeterminations, Calendar Year 1967. 1966, 22pp.

NE-2 Nebraska Division of Employment.

Financing Unemployment Insurance in Nebraska.

NE-3 Nebraska Division of Employment.

Survey of Disqualified Claimants: Voluntary-quit and Ordinary Misconduct Disqualifications Among the Insured Unemployed in Nebraska. 1966, 42pp.

NE-4 U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, Unemployment Insurance Service.

"UI Research Reports," <u>Unemployment Insurance Review</u>, IV, No. 8 (August 1967), 13-15.

This article summarizes the Nebraska study "Loss of Benefits Because of Delayed Filing," which attempts to identify the characteristics associated with claimants most likely to lose benefits because of delay in filing. (209).

NE-5 Munts, Raymond.

"Partial Benefit Schedules in Unemployment Insurance: Their Effect on Work Incentive," <u>Journal of Human Resources</u>, 5 (Spring 1970), 160-176.

For administrative convenience, most states disregard the part-time earnings of UI claimants (up to a certain fraction of the weekly benefit amount). After that point is reached, however, benefits are reduced dollar for dollar by additional earnings. This dollar for dollar reduction of benefits constitutes a marginal "tax" rate of 100 percent on the additional earnings. The author of this paper feels this tax rate, which exists in 42 states, decreases the incentive for unemployed workers to obtain part-time work.

The author analyzes the partial benefit schedules in South Dakota, Kentucky, Connecticut, Puerto Rico, Michigan, Nebraska and Wisconsin. He discusses partial benefit data from Wisconsin in detail; these data show that workers significantly adjust their partial work schedules to serve their economic interests. (347).

	·			

Nevada

NV-1 Rosakrans, Ruth I.

Nevada Employment Security Department, Research and Statistics Section.

Characteristics of Nevada's Long-Termed Unemployed. 1964.

NV-2 Nevada Employment Security Department, Research and Statistics Section.

Estimates of Solvency of the Nevada State Unemployment Compensation Fund in the Post-war Period.

NV-3 Butler, Robert T.

"Financing Nevada's Unemployment Insurance Program," Nevada Review of Business and Economics, I, Summer 1977, 20-22. Tables, charts.

NV-4 Nevada Employment Security Department, Research and Statistics Section.

Nevada Employment and Payrolls.

NV-5 Nevada Employment Security Department.

Rules and Regulations of the Employment Security Department of Nevada. 1966.

NV-6 Nevada Employment Security Department, Manpower Information and Research Section.

Unemployment Compensation and the Nevada Worker. 1967.

NV-7 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Las 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states participating in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. The report presents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

NV-8 U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

Federal Supplemental Benefits Post-Exhaustion Study. November 1976.

This report provides information on the labor force status and the extent of public assistance program participation during the two months following exhaustion of FSB benefits by UI beneficiaries during 1975. Data covers five states: California, Missouri, Nevada, New York, and Wisconsin. Since all of these states except Missouri had already conducted a study of individuals who exhausted their Regular Unemployment Insurance (RUI) entitlement during part of 1973 and 1974, this study uses these previously sampled groups (RUI exhaustees who later received FSB) to obtain information on post-FSB experience. Information was obtained by mail questionnaire and response rates ranged from 67 percent in Wisconsin to 92 percent in New York. (114).

New Hampshire

NH-1 Jansen, Edmund, Jr.

Economic and Social Considerations in Extending Unemployment Insurance to New Hampshire Agricultural Workers. Research Report No. 32, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of New Hampshire, 1973.

NH-2 New Hampshire Department of Employment Security, Economic Analysis and Reporting.

New Hampshire Continuous Wage and Benefit History in Unemployment Compensation. 1965, 145pp.

NH-3 U.S. General Accounting Office.

Worker Adjustment Assistance Under the Trade Act of 1974 to New England Workers Has Been Primarily Income Maintenance. HRD-78-153. NTIS doc. no. PB-287-529. October 31, 1978.

To evaluate the adjustment assistance benefits paid through the Trade Act of 1974 to New England workers, this report analyzes the characteristics of a random sample of 239 individuals from a population of 7,820 receiving benefits under the Trade Act from April 3, 1975 through December 31, 1976. The report includes responses to the findings by states and Federal officials and comparisons of: (1) characteristics of benefit recipients under the Trade Act who exhausted benefits with the recipients who had not, (2) recipients of Trade Act benefits, by industry, and (3) Trade Act recipients and UI claimants not receiving Trade Act benefits. (59).

New Jersey

NJ-1 Luke, George, and John Carneross.

An Analysis of the Variables Related to the Extension of Unemployment Insurance to Farm Workers in New Jersey. Bulletin 827, Agricultural Experiment Station, Rutgers University, 1972.

NJ-2 Luke, George W.

Critical Issues in Extending Unemployment Insurance to Farm Workers in New Jersey. New Brunswick, Department of Agricultural Economics and Marketing, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Rutgers University, State University of New Jersey, 1974, 60pp.

This paper provides a description of the demographic characteristics of the New Jersey farm labor force. It emphasizes the impact of an unemployment insurance program on the Puerto Rican workers who make up the largest part of that labor force. It concludes with a discussion of the potential impact of an unemployment insurance program on the future size of the farm labor force, examining those factors of production that might be substituted for labor to offset increased labor costs in New Jersey.

NJ-3 New Jersey Department of Labor and Industry, Division of Planning and Research.

Employer Experience Rating in Unemployment Insurance (Rate Year 1971-1972): A Statistical Summary. 1973, 34pp.

NJ-4 New Jersey Legislature, Labor Relations Committee.

Public Hearing before Senate and Assembly Labor Relations Committees on State Unemployment Compensation Laws. Held February 19, 1968. 90pp.

NJ-5 New Jersey Department of Labor and Industry, Employment Security Council.

Final Report on the New Jersey Unemployment Compensation Program. New Jersey Department of Labor and Industry, March 1979.

Starting in January 1978, the New Jersey Employment Security Council conducted a one-year review and analysis of the State's unemployment compensation laws and of the administration of the UI program. The Council held hearings in five New Jersey cities and also received written statements on UI policy. This report presents the Council's recommendations for strengthening the New Jersey UI program. (286).

NJ-6 New Jersey Legislature, Office of Fiscal Affairs, Division of Program Analysis.

Special Program Analysis of Unemployment Insurance Fraud Detection and Control Activity in the New Jersey Division of Unemployment and Disability Insurance. 34pp.

This study analyzes the effect of fraud in undermining the stability of the New Jersey unemployment insurance trust fund.

NJ-7 Bonfanti, Vincent, and Barbara Hammonds.

New Jersey Department of Labor and Industry, Division of Planning and Research.

Unemployment Insurance in New Jersey, 1936-1970: A Statistical Handbook. 1971, 48pp.

NJ-8 New Jersey State Department of Labor and Industry, Unemployment Insurance Task Force.

Unemployment Insurance Task Force Final Report. 1975, 117pp.

NJ-9 Munts, Raymond, and Irwin Garfinkel.

The Work Disincentive Effects of Unemployment Insurance. Kalamazoo, MI: The W. E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, September 1974.

Based on an examination of empirical studies, this monograph discusses the work disincentives and duration of unemployment issues as controversial effects of UI benefits. The three types of indirect evidence examined are: cross-sectional studies using subjects from different groups as to income level, age, sex, etc.; a 3-year New Jersey experimental study; and studies on transfer programs such as Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and Old Age Insurance (OAI). The direct evidence used was obtained from post-exhaustion studies conducted by state UI agencies. (69).

NJ-10 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this UI program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report represents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

NJ-11 Diefenbach, Donald L.

Financing New Jersey's Unemployment Insurance Program. New Jersey Department of Labor and Industry, November 1978.

This report examines in detail the problems and issues involved in financing New Jersey's UI program. The author formulates recommendations for repaying the \$735 million borrowed by the UI system from FUTA and from other state resources, rebuilding the state UI trust fund, and restructuring the tax system to provide long-range financial stability for the program. (295).

New York

NY-1 New York State Division of Employment, Research and Statistics Office.

Changes in Benefit Provisions of State Unemployment Insurance Laws, January 1956-July 1971. 1971, 21pp.

NY-2 Bauder, Ward, and C.A. Bratton.

Considerations in Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers in New York State. Agricultural Experiment Station, Cornell University, 1973.

NY-3 New York State Division of Employment, Research and Statistics Office.

Data Book on Unemployment Insurance Claimants: Benefit Years Ending in 1962. 1966, 85pp.

NY-4 Goldwater, Leonard F.

New York State Division of Employment, Research and Statistics Office.

Federal-state Extended Unemployment Compensation: New York State, 1971. 1972, 41pp. Includes bibliographical references.

NY-5 Entes, Ruth, and Gladys R. Webbink.

New York State Division of Employment.

How Unemployment Insurance Helped Workers in New York State, 1953-1967. 1970, 79pp.

NY-6 Ratner, Stanley, and Louis Leonardo.

"HRA On-line Time and Attendance Systems," Personnel Journal, LIV, No.8 (August 1975), 454-455.

The Human-Resoures Administration is the New York City agency responsible for handling all the various welfare, unemployment, and medical-care payment distributions for the city. It included a civil service staff of approximately 28,000 people. Until recently, all time-sheet maintenance plus administration

of employee-benefits, sick pay, vacation, and so forth were handled manually. In early 1973 the HRA met with representatives of the International Business Machine Corporation to develop a new computer system. Now every employee punches his "time-clock", a computer terminal, with a magnetically encoded employee identification card and immediately updates all records.

- NY-7 The Impact of Extending Unemployment Insurance to Agricultural Workers in New York State. Agricultural Experiment Station, Cornell University, 1972.
- NY-8 New York State Division of Employment.

Insured Employment in Selected Counties with Employment of 100,000 or More, 1965-1968. 1970, 20pp.

NY-9 Jick, Todd.

"Labor-management Panel Seeks to Help Laid-off State Workers," Monthly Labor Review, CI, No. 5 (May 1978), 38-40.

The New York State Continuity of Employment (COE) Committee was established to handle issues of public sector worker displacement in New York State. Its membership consists of an equal number of management and union officials, and it is chaired by a neutral party. The Committee studies worker displacement problems caused by economic or program cutbacks in state agencies. The social and psychological consequences of layoffs are being studied. Under the threat of layoffs, many good workers have chosen to quit voluntarily, resulting in costly retraining and a loss of organizational effectiveness. Action programs are designed to deliver direct benefits to displaced employees.

NY-10 Dooley, Ann.

"New York Matches Files, Finds 7,000 Welfare Cheats," Computerworld, XIII, No. 10 (March 5, 1979), 7.

This article describes a computer cross-check system that matches welfare recipients with all wage earners in a legislative effort to trim its welfare rolls. Initial findings indicate 70,655 persons on both rolls, and about 7,000 of those are believed to be receiving funds fradulently. Individuals who were earning wages while collecting unemployment insurance totaled 15,356 according to the first quarterly matchup. A law passed by the State legislature last July at the request of Gov. Hugh Carey mandated the state departments of labor, taxation, finance, and social services to coordinate their efforts and try to find duplications and errors.

Puerto Rico

PR-1 Helfield, D.M.

"Federal Minimum Wage Determination in Puerto Rico: Comment," Proceedings of the National Academy of Arbitrators, April-May 1975, pp. 187-197.

According to this paper, the minimum wage committee process cannot be phased out without harm to the Puerto Rican economy. Industry comittees are still functioning for all wage levels except those that have already reached the statewide statutory minimum. The implications of federal minimum wage legislation on the Puerto Rican economy are discussed.

PR-2 Colon, R.H.

"Labor Policy in Puerto Rico," <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Arbitrators</u>, April-May 1975, pp. 203-207.

This paper is the report of a committee appointed to meet labor problems, strikes, public health and safety. Strike action and arbitration processes are integral elements of collective bargaining. However strikes, unlike arbitration, result in great economic and social costs. Conflict resolution is discussed.

PR-3 U.S. Department of Labor, Manpower Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service.

A Report on Experience Under the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971 (Public Law 92-224). Report transmitted to Congress, May 1, 1972. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

This report summarizes the experiences of each of the 19 states that participated in Title II of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1971. Referred to as Temporary Compensation (TC), this program lasted from December 29, 1971 to September 30, 1972. This report presents a state-by-state examination of TC experience, comparing regular UI recipients with TC recipients in terms of coverage, benefit amount, benefit duration, work force breakdown by industry, and demographic characteristics. (113).

PR-4 Rohrlich, G.F.

"Problems of Social Insurance Coordination: The Case of Puerto Rico," <u>Journal</u> of Risk and Insurance, XLV, No. 2 (June 1978), pp. 239-260.

This article examines Puerto Rico's development of numerous social insurance programs. The abundance of such programs and overlapping functions leads the author to recommend changes amounting to consolidation and much tighter coordination of programs.

PR-5 Munts, Raymond.

"Partial Benefit Schedules in Unemployment Insurance: Their Effect on Work Incentive," Journal of Human Resources, 5 (Spring 1970), 160-176.

For administrative convenience, most states disregard the part-time earnings of UI claimants (up to a certain fraction of the weekly benefit amount). After that point is reached, however, benefits are reduced dollar for dollar by additional earnings. This dollar for dollar reduction of benefits constitutes a marginal "tax" rate of 100 percent on the additional earnings. The author of this paper feels this tax rate, which exists in 42 states, decreases the incentive for unemployed workers to obtain part-time work.

The author analyzes the partial benefit schedules in South Dakota, Kentucky, Connecticut, Puerto Rico, Michigan, Nebraska and Wisconsin. He discusses partial benefit data from Wisconsin in detail; these data show that workers significantly adjust their partial work schedules to serve their economic interests. (347)